13278	MR. GALE, EXAM. BY MR. MACDONALD 3:42 p.m.
1	MR. MACDONALD
3	The next witness is GORDON GALE, My Lords.
4 5	GORDON GALE, duly called and sworn, testified as follows:
6	EXAMINATION BY MR. MACDONALD
7	Q. Your name is Gordon Gale?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Mr. Gale, you are the Director of Criminal for the Attorney
10	General's Department for the Province of Nova Scotia?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. You're a practicing barrister?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Queen's Counsel?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. When did you obtain that designation?
17	A. 1981.
18	Q. When did you graduate from law school?
19	A. 1965.
20	Q. Just briefly, would you trace for us your work history since
21	2. Just offerry, would you trace for us your work firstory strice

that time?

22

23

24

25

In November of 1965, I joined the Attorney General's

Department. I went in as a solicitor. I soon became involved

in some criminal matters. I also had various departments

- assigned to me. Gradually, over that period, I guess around
 1970, I became senior solicitor. And during that period of
 time, I became responsible for criminal appeals and was, at
 that time. Then, if my memory serves me right, I think it was
 in June of 1971, I became Director of Criminal Law.
- 6 Q. June of?
- 7 A. 1971.

- 8 Q. '71. Did you succeed Robert Anderson?
- 9 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And my understanding is that Robert Anderson was appointed to the bench in December of '71.
- A. Yes, he was.
- Q. Was it June of '72 that you mean to say?
- A. I'm sorry, June '72.
- Q. Okay, thank you. Mr. Anderson was appointed to the bench, the evidence is, on December the 16th of 1971. And he, at that time, was Director of Criminal, is that a fact?
- 18 A. Yes, he was.
- Q. And you were the next Director of Criminal?
- A. Yes, I was.
- Q. And your appointment took place when, or effect as of?
- A. Well, it was effective January 1st of 1972, but I didn't receive notice of that until June 20th, 1972.
- Q. Did you act as a... Prior to receiving notice, did you act as
 Director of Criminal?

- A. Well, there was a gradual absorption of that function over a period of two or three or four months.
- Q. Okay, we'll come back to that then. Your, from June of '72, just continue then with your involvement with the Department to the present time?
- A. Well, virtually, the Director of Criminal Law was responsible for all criminal matters. I continued to look after criminal appeals but, gradually, got out of that, to a large extent, because of the involvement that I had in attending meetings and other matters. I think Martin Herschorn was probably made Assistant Director, I'm not sure of the date. I think around 1980, perhaps it was later than that. In 19... Once he became an Assistant Director, there were some changes and he became responsible for prosecutors and I dealt with the rest of the matters. And then I think it was in 1986, they brought forth the Director of Prosecutions, to which Martin was appointed, and which really made no great change in his duties, and I continued to oversee appeals and do all other criminal matters that were in the Department.
- Q. From an organizational point of view, are you and Mr.

 Herschorn at the same level?
 - A. Yes, we are.
- Q. And your title today is Director of Criminal?
- A. Yes.

MR. CHAIRMAN

How does that compare, Mr. Gale, with the office of Director of Public Prosecutions that we find in some places?

MR. GALE

1

2

3

5

7

A

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Well, at the moment, it doesn't compare at all with the office of Director of Public Prosecutions. I suppose, originally, it was part of the function.

MR. CHAIRMAN

Would your office be closer to that of Director of Public Prosecutions, say, than Mr. Herschorn?

MR. GALE

At the present moment?

MR. CHAIRMAN

Yes.

MR. GALE

No, I would not think so. The office now will have to undergo some changes, but it has been responsible for dealing with police. We're dealing with other government departments and agencies on criminal matters and overseeing criminal appeals. So it's not, in my view, what is normally thought of as a Director of Public Prosecutions.

MR. CHAIRMAN

I assume, therefore, there is no Director of <u>Public</u>

<u>Prosecutions Act</u> in Nova Scotia.

25

13282	MR. GALE, EXAM. BY MR. MACDONALD
1	MR. GALE
2	No, there is not.
3	MR. MACDONALD
4	My Lords, I've had marked as exhibits two documents. One
5	is a position description for Director of Criminal, Mr. Gale's present
6	position. That's been marked as EXHIBIT 159.
7	COMMISSIONER EVANS
8	The one I have is 149, but I think it should be 159.
9	MR. MACDONALD
10	No, this is brand new, My Lord.
11	COMMISSIONER EVANS
12	A new one?
13	MR. MACDONALD
14	Just being marked. Also marked as EXHIBIT 160 is
15	another sheet. It has job descriptions for three individuals. As of
16	1975, Mr. Gale is noted to be Director of Criminal at that time as
17	well. And that's been marked as Exhibit 160.
18	EXHIBIT 159 - POSITION DESCRIPTION FOR DIRECTOR OF
19	CRIMINAL, PROVINCE OF NOVA SCOTIA, 1985.
20	EXHIBIT 160 - THREE JOB DESCRIPTIONS, 1975.
21	
22	BY MR. MACDONALD

23

24

25

Let me start with Exhibit 160, Mr. Gale, please, the 1975 Q. document. This was prior to the time, I take it, of Mr. Herschorn being made an assistant director and taking over

responsibility for prosecutions.

A. Yes, it is. *3:50 p.m.

3

5

1

2

Q. And is this the job description that would have been in effect from the time you took that position until the post of Assistant Director was created?

6

A. Numbers 1 and 2 on it would have been. I think 3 is something that was added at some later date, and No. 4 is something I've had before and have dropped and have had again. I also had other government departments that I acted

10

8

as solicitor for, as well as being Director of Criminal Law.

11

Q. So I understand, though, until... From the time you took the post in 1972, until Mr. Herschorn would have been appointed assistant director, you were responsible for all criminal matters, prosecutions, generally, and all criminal appeals.

14

15

13

A. Yes, I was.

16

Q. And that would be your responsibility, then, for all of the prosecutors out in the field. Ultimately, they would be reporting to you? Or under your control or ultimate authority?

18 19

20

A. Yes, there was not a very rigid type of reporting system. It

21 22

23

24

25

was more one that, if they had a problem, they, I was the one that was contacted about it. There was no system whereby we went out and talked to them.

Q. Would it be your responsibility, for example, to insure that

- the prosecutors in the field were complying with the policies of the Department?
- A. Well, to the extent that there were any understood policies, then I would try and see that they adhered to those, but that would normally be when we had some complaint, rather than any proactive going out. Because I can't remember any written policies at that point in time.
- Q. Specifically, dealing with a policy of disclosure, is there any written policy from the time you took over the job in 1972 until Mr. Herschorn assumed the responsibility for prosecuting?
- A. Well, there was no written policy until around 1980, which I think was brought about by the then Attorney General, Mr. How.
- Q. Okay. Now, in addition, you were responsible for all matters relating to the R.C.M.P. police, municipal police, police investigations and reports, and other matters. And that's just... You were the liaison man, were you, from the Attorney General's Department and the police?
- A. Yes. I'm really not sure what "police investigations and reports" is supposed to mean here, except that we normally got reports from the R.C.M.P. on a number of matters. We have never gotten reports from municipal police, unless we requested them on a particular case.
- Q. Are you saying then when it says "responsibility for police

- investigations and reports", that merely means responsibility to receive such reports?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Thank you. And No. 3, you are responsible... The position, responsible for all legal matters relating to native peoples.
 - A. Well, that was put in as a list of responsibilities. The only dealings that I had on matters related to native people were, was in policing on reservations.
 - Q. During, and I'll come back to it in some detail later, Mr. Gale, but was it during this time from your appointment in '72 until 1980 when Mr. Herschorn took over some of your responsibility, was it during that period of time that the court worker program was, the native court worker program was in effect?
 - A. It was my understanding that the native court worker program was in effect somewheres, roughly, between 1975 and maybe 1980, or perhaps not quite as late.
 - Q. Was that something that you, as Director of Criminal, were responsible as a legal matter relating to natives?
 - A. No, I had really nothing to do with the native court worker program. It seemed to be one that came in under the Deputy. It was also dealt with by Mr. R. A. MacDonald of our office, and the prime person, other than the Deputy that seemed to be involved in the matter, was Mr. Crane, who is now Director of... Executive Director of Corrections in the Solicitor General's

24

25

	MK	. GALE, EXAM. BY MR. MACDONALD
1		Department.
2	Q.	To your knowledge, was there anyone else whose job
3		description in the A. G.'s Department in the mid seventies,
4		who had responsibility for any legal matter relating to native
5		peoples?
6	A.	No, there was no one else with that particular job description
7		given to them.
8	Q.	Okay, as I said, we'll come back to that later. Let me take you
9		to Exhibit 159 now, please. That, I understand, is the current
10		job description for your position, although it necessarily will
11		have changed with the creation of the Office of the Solicitor
12		General, is that correct?
13	A.	That's correct.
14	Q.	And that took effect as of when?
15	A.	December, 1987, as I recall.
16	Q.	Let me just then
17	MR	. CHAIRMAN
18		Has the legislation been passed, Mr. Gale
19	MR	<u>. GALE</u>
20		Yes.
21	MR	. CHAIRMAN
22		Creating the Department of Solicitor General?
23	MR	<u>. GALE</u>

Yes, it has. Bill 88, act to amend the Public Service Act.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BY MR. MACDONALD

Let me take you to the position description then, Mr. Gale, and ask you for some assistance in understanding exactly what the job entails. It starts out "General Accountability", where you are:

> Accountable for providing advice and assistance and ensuring consistency, through acquired expertise, in all aspects of the criminal justice system...

And that's quite a mouthful. What does that mean?

- Well, I think it basically means that I'm to advise the Minister and the Deputy Minister, and anyone else that needs advice, on proposed amendments to the Criminal Code by the federal government, to attend meetings with the federal justice and provincial justice people. I was also responsible for attending meetings at the Deputy Minister and Ministerial levels. other than that... I suppose also the Uniform Law Conference. And I have also been on an advisory body to the Law Reform Commission of Canada on their review of the criminal law.
- What do you understand the criminal justice system Q. comprises? Just so I can get a better understanding for your job.
- I understand it to include matters dealing with, to some extent, with prosecutions. Certainly with all aspects of criminal law in itself. To deal with matters involving policing.

- It seems to be, regardless of how it's phrased there, it's really a jack-of-all-trades, as far as matters involving criminal law and quasi-criminal law.
- Q. Would it be fair for me to suggest that you are responsible and accountable for all areas of the criminal justice system, with the exception of prosecutions.
- 7 A. That's correct.

13288

1

2

3

4

5

6

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Addressed by Mr. Herschorn.
- 9 A. That's correct.
- Q. In addition, the general accountability was with respect to
 "formulating policy in all matters relating to policing." That
 was part of your job description.
- A. Yes. As far as municipal police went, a good deal of that fell under the Nova Scotia Police Commission. With the R.C.M.P., it would be a matter of determining particular enforcement modes that they might be using from time to time.
 - Q. Can you give us an example of the type of policy that you might have been involved with formulating, as it relates to policing in Nova Scotia?
 - A. I suppose with the R.C.M.P., it would have been, and with other police forces, in fact, in this case, formulating a policy on second and subsequent offenders for impaired driving, would be one of them.
- Q. With respect to what? What to charge or how to treat people? I don't understand how that relates to policing.

- A. Well, we formulated a policy that if a person had been convicted within the preceding two years, that we would then proceed against them as if they were, on the basis that they were a second offender. Which meant an automatic jail term.
- Q. I see, all right. Would you get involved in policies such as minimum standards for someone being hired by a police force?
- A. No, I was not. That was a matter with, to the extent it was dealt with by the provincial government, was dealt with through the Nova Scotia Police Commission.
- Q. Now if you go down to the next paragraph of your, or subparagraph under "Structure". It notes that you are, you report to the Executive Director of Legal Services. Who is that?
- A. Mr. Gerald Conrad.
- Q. Is that still the situation today?
- A. Yes, it is.

13289

2

3

4

5

- Q. You do not, then, report directly to the Assistant Attorney General?
- A. Well, there's a Deputy Attorney General.
- Q. Deputy Attorney General, I'm sorry.
- A. No, in the structure, it's through Mr. Conrad. In practice, it depends on what the problem is. I may directly go to the Deputy or to the Minister.
- Q. And then it says there are three positions reporting to you-- a

2

3

7

R

9

10

11

12

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. GALE, EXAM. BY MR. MACDONALD

- senior solicitor, Young Offenders. Next is the solicitor. And then the prosecuting officer. And they report to you only with respect to appeals, is that correct?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. What's the solicitor? Who is that? Not necessarily the person, but what role does that person play?
 - A. Well, these are the people that are mentioned under that title "solicitor", were the four that were doing criminal appeal work in our Department. It's now five. We receive the notices of appeal or the recommendations for appeal. I, in conjunction with others, make the decision whether or not there's a basis to appeal. The appeals are then handled by this group.
 - Q. On page three of that document, Mr. Gale, toward the bottom, it says:

Outside of government there is frequent contact by judges of all levels of courts seeking assistance and information on various facets of criminal law.

Do you have frequent contact with the judges in our criminal justice system?

A. No, I don't have frequent contact. I have contact from time to time when they are requesting information as to whether a criminal law bill has been proclaimed, or where it may be in the system, or if we have a report of a certain case, or if I'm

25

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- aware of any cases that might deal with a certain point of law. But that's the only contact I really have with the judges. 4:05 p.m.
- Q. What's the rationale behind having all appeals, virtually all appeals argued by the office in Halifax?
- Well this was the way the matter was, was when I inherited Α. the position, but in fact if you looked at it over the years and have found that it makes sense to have one group of lawyers who are available. It's efficient. There's no problem about having to determine who was available for a particular appeal. It's very easy to go over and we know that one of those five will be able to take that appeal. It creates a group of people who have an expertise, who are current on the law, and it also allows us to determine what appeals are being taken and what are not, the particular cases, one which should be appealed. It might seem to have an interesting point of law but when one looks at it it's not one that is really going to make any difference in the world or it's, even if it is one that you wanted to take, you might have such a poor set of facts that you would perhaps end up with a decision that you don't want. It also allows us to determine that this is the case we're going to appeal and we don't have to run that situation where there are several appeals on that same point going on.
- Q. Do you know if the same type of policy is followed in other

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

24

25

MR. GALE, EXAM. BY MR. MacDONALD

provinces?

- Well to my understanding it's followed in Alberta. It's 2 followed, I understand, in Ontario, where they have a special 3 Crown counsel office that handles a good many of their 4 appeals. It's not followed in the Province of New Brunswick. 5 There, I gather, each prosecutor is responsible for his own 6 appeals. It's one that we have found that seems to work well 7 and one which the court, to my knowledge, seems to appreciate in having a group of people that come before them who they know are well prepared and will be prepared to 10 argue the cases fairly. 11
 - Q. Let me go back to the time when you were in charge of prosecution. How would you define the role of a Crown Prosecutor?
 - A. I'm not sure what you're trying to get at.
 - Q. What do you say his role is? What's the role of a Crown Prosecutor?
 - A. Well his role is to prosecute the charges that have been laid by police. I have always stated, whenever asked, that his job is to present the evidence. That the prosecutor neither wins nor loses a case but he has a duty to present the evidence fairly.
 - Q. What discretion is given to a prosecutor as to, for example, whether to proceed with a prosecution, the police having laid an information.

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

- A. Well I think the prosecutor has a fair amount of discretion.

 He can, if he's satisfied that there is not sufficient evidence to prosecute even though the charge has been laid, I mean he may withdraw the charge or enter a stay of proceedings.
- Q. Does he need approval from your office to do that?
- A. He does not need approval. There has been a request that if there's a stay of proceedings that perhaps that should be discussed with someone on the, up the line, in that we don't want a stay of proceedings used just because a witness isn't available and the court refuses an adjournment.
- Q Do you have a written policy with respect to that?
- A. Not that I can recall.
- Q. What about the exercise of discretion to withdraw, not present evidence, in a situation where a prosecutor wants to exercise the discretion on humanitarian grounds or some other basis, other than not enough evidence.
- A. Well, the prosecutor has that discretion and they have exercised it from time to time, there's nothing to, they have nothing to stop them from doing that. We, I have found in practice that in most of those cases they will contact someone else, either the prosecuting officer in charge of their area or perhaps someone within the Halifax head office, if you will.
- Q. And if a prosecutor does exercise his discretion not to prosecute on humanitarian reasons, is he chastised for that or is there any way of disciplining him at all?

R

- A. Well I'm not aware of any way of really disciplining anybody and I'm not, I haven't seen anybody particularly chastised for exercising that discretion.
- Q. When you were director of, including Director of Prosecution, what type of training was given to a new prosecutor?
- A. There was no particular training given to a new prosecutor other than an individual was hired, presumably one who had had some experience in criminal law. Now that individual sat in with the more senior prosecuting officer for a period of time to be determined between the two of them.
- Q What was your personal view as, when you occupied the position with respect to disclosure of information to the defence?
- A. Well my personal view has always been to provide disclosure to the defence but that's been a personal view. There were no instructions on disclosure at the beginning and I don't think there are any written ones until about 1980. Certainly it was always understood that the defence should get, or have access to the Crown sheet so-called and certainly see the statements of the accused.
- Q. What about...
- A. The Crown sheet basically is a brief of the offence with a number of names of witnesses on it and "we'll see" sort of thing, prepared by the police officer.
- Q. What was your understanding about statements taken from

15

16

17

18

22

- witnesses? Whether those should be made available to the accused.
- A. Well there was nothing wrong...
- Q. Just to the defence.
- A. There is absolutely nothing on that. I've always, when asked about it, indicated to people that they can see them if I had any control over the file. There was no offering of these things to the defence, it was a matter of the defence asking for them.
- Q. And you, in being the person in charge of the Department, if
 you were asked by someone in the field what to do, would
 that be your answer. If the defence asks you give it to them,
 otherwise you do not?
 - A. At that early juncture, yes, our position within the Department was if they ask for it, give it to them.
 - Q. And do you understand that that would be satisfying your description of the role of a Crown Prosecutor including the requirement to be fair?
- A. I think in most respects it did with the understanding and proviso that the Crown was not to withhold something that may be favourable to the defence.
 - Q. I'm sorry, it was not?
- A. Was not to withhold anything that may be favourable to the defence.
- Q. Withhold in what sense, Mr. Gale? If someone came and

R

- asked you gave them everything even if it was unfavourable to the prosecution? Is that what you mean?
- A. Well if he came and asked for the documents that he would be given, in my view, whatever we had on it. I suppose that interpretation may have varied from prosecutor to prosecutor, quite frankly. But if you, as a prosecutor, saw something there that was very favourable to, or favourable to the defence and unfavourable to the Crown then you should make the motion yourself to advise defence.
- Q. Should make the motion yourself.
 - A. Yes. In my view. But...
 - Q. But your view, I'm talking now your view as Director of Prosecutors, what did you do to try and ensure that the people out in the field were doing what you think is correct. That there was consistency across the province.
 - A. There was not a thing done that was particularly active in that regard. The job required you to do a great many things. At that point in time you might be lucky to be able to call a meeting of prosecuting officers once every two years to try and talk to them. I have tried to have meetings established on a more regular basis but I was generally confronted with the statement by my superiors that the money was not available.
 - Q. Okay. No training, I understood you to say no formal training...

- A. No formal training...
- Q. Just an assignment to somebody who had practised in the field for a while?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- Q. And what the, and no written policies of any kind.
- A. No, there were no written policies of any kind.
- Q. What a new prosecutor would pick up, then, is what the practices and procedures were being followed by the person to whom he was assigned.
- 10 A. Quite frankly, yes.
- Q. Do you have any idea who Mr. Endres may have been assigned to?
- A. No, I have no idea who he was assigned to.
- Q. Do you know if anyone was assigned to Mr. Endres when he was prosecuting to get their policies.
- A. No, I don't know if anyone was assigned to him because that office is run by Mr. Thomas and Mr. Thomas would have assigned Mr. Endres to somebody in the first instance and others to Mr. Endres as he became experienced.
- Q. Do you know if there's any training offered today to new prosecutors who are hired by the Department?
- A. No, there's no training offered today other than to have them work with an experienced prosecutor for a period of time.
- Q. But there are some written policies today.
- A. There are written policies, some guidelines now.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Thank you. What role does the Deputy play in the Department? I'm thinking only of the criminal law field now.
- Well the Deputy, I suppose, is ultimately the second person 3 responsible for the criminal matters with the Attorney General being the person ultimately responsible but I have 5 found that over the years I suppose the Deputies have 6 different views of what role they play. Certainly Mr. Coles 7 attended deputy ministerial and ministerial meetings where 8 criminal matters were discussed and he made his contribution 9 to those. If something arose that he took an interest in he 10 certainly made that quite well known to you, that he had an 11 interest in what was going on in this particular matter. 12 somebody had raised it with him or... 13
 - Q. Did you have, do you have regular meetings with the Deputy?
 - A. No, there are no regularly-scheduled meetings with the Deputy. It was on an informal basis. The Department, with the size it was, it was very easy to walk into the Deputy's office and mention two or three matters that might be of interest or to look for instructions on any matters that you wanted instructions on.
 - Q. Did you, or have you briefed the Deputy on various matters in order that he can meet with his Minister or, indeed, with other Ministers?
 - A. Yes, I've briefed the Deputy on various matters so that he can meet with the Attorney General. And in some of those cases

- I've briefed the Attorney General personally too, or have been in with the Deputy when we're talking to the Attorney General.
- Q. How many Deputies have you served with?
- A. Well the original Deputy was a John A.Y. MacDonald, then
 there was Innes MacLeod, then Gordon Coles and the present
 deputy William MacDonald.
- 8 *4:20 p.m.
- Q. Mr. MacDonald, did he work in the A. G.'s Department for a while?
- 11 A. The present Deputy?
- 12 Q. Yes.
- ¹³ A. Yes, he did.
- 14 Q. Before he became legislative...
- A. Yes, as I recall, he was in the Prosecutor's office and then he was within the Department and, I think, working for me for awhile doing some criminal appeals.
- Q. Were all of those Deputies, with the exception of Mr. Coles,
 what you might call "career civil servants"?
- 20 A. Yes.

- Q. Mr. Coles was brought in from practice.
- A. Yes, he was.
- Q. There was reference last week in evidence given by Mr.
 Giovannetti when he was talking about an experience he had

2

3

4

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GALE, EXAM. BY MR. MacDONALD

with Mr. Justice Pace. And he said he did not contact Mr.

Coles to discuss his proposed course of action because there had been a prior association between Mr. Coles and Justice Pace and he felt that there would be some, there may be some uncomfortable feeling if he approached Mr. Coles. Are you aware of any relationship between Mr. Coles and Mr. Pace?

A. Well, to my knowledge, Mr. Coles and Mr. Justice Pace have been friends for a good many years, long predating their... Mr.

Coles coming into the Department as Deputy. They certainly had friendly relations when I, when they were both there and I don't know how, what associations they've had since.

- Q. You didn't have occasion to socialize with them outside of the office, or you don't?
- A. No, I've attended one or two gatherings at Mr. Coles' house and at a number of ministerial meetings where Mr. Coles and Mr. Pace would be together and I might be with them for awhile. But, no, I have not a social relationship with them.
- Q. Mr. Giovannetti told us about the contact he had with Mr.

 Justice Pace. You're familiar with that evidence, aren't you?
- A. I have read, or I heard Mr. Giovannetti's evidence.
- Q. Did Mr. Giovannetti ever bring that to your attention when, in the Department, other than your having read the evidence he gave here?
- A. I can recall hearing about it within the Department. I don't

- recall Mr. Giovannetti particularly advising me of it. I think that perhaps I overheard him talking to one of the other appeal lawyers on the matter and I, when I was going into the office and I heard something of it in that regard.
- Q. You are responsible, at this stage, for all of the members of the Department who argue criminal appeals?
- 7 A. Yes.
 - Q. And that would include Mr. Giovannetti?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And you overheard a discussion where he... What did you understand had taken place?
 - A. Well, I understood that he had a problem about Mr. Justice Pace sitting on the panel that was to hear the Ebsary appeal. That this matter had come up in his mind on the weekend that he called the Attorney General directly at home on the matter. That as a result of that, the Chief Justice of Nova Scotia arranged for the matter to be adjourned and to be heard before a different panel and I heard that, at some subsequent time, that Mr. Justice Pace had called Mr. Giovannetti into his office and, to my understanding, made it plain to him that he didn't appreciate the action.
 - Q. Were you aware of the comment that Mr. Giovannetti reported here? That during the discussion with Mr. Justice Pace, reference was made to the latter's belief or his statement that he always knew from the time Ebsary was

- charged that he could not be guilty?
- A. No, I was not aware of that.
- Q. You've only been aware of that since the evidence was given?
- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. Is it unusual for one of your staff to go directly to the Attorney General to get advice?
- A. Yes, it's somewhat unusual. But, in this particular case, as I understood it, this matter arose on a Saturday and that Mr.

 Giovannetti felt that he needed a quick reply and that the simplest way was to try the Attorney General himself, and he was successful in contacting him.
- Q. Did that upset you that he went directly to the Attorney General?
- A. No, it didn't upset me at all, because if he had raised it with me, I would have gone to the Attorney General myself.
- Q. You would have gone yourself. Did you agree with... Or I
 guess I can't ask you did you, but would you agree with Mr.
 Giovannetti that Mr. Justice Pace sitting on that panel would
 at least have the appearance of bias?
- A. I agree with him that that appearance is there, if he had sat on that panel, yes.
- Q. Thank you. The Attorney General, over your career, do the
 Attorney Generals, do they come to the office every day, do
 they actually participate as law officers of the Crown?
- A. Well, it depends upon the particular Attorney General at any

- particular given time. They come to the office. Some of them,
 not every day. Some have two ministries that they're
 responsible for. So given the fact that they have to divide
 their time between two ministries, between Cabinet, and
 various management boards or policy boards, you don't
 necessarily have a wide area of time on which you're able to
 approach them.
 - Q. You said that at times you yourself have been involved in briefing sessions with the Attorney General.
- 10 A. Yes.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. Is that for the purposes of his, advising his colleagues or going to Cabinet or otherwise?
 - A. Well, I suppose, in some cases, it may have been for advising his colleagues, but on criminal matters on the whole, it was a matter of making certain that he was fully aware of what was going on because there are certain decisions to be made. He had to make recommendations and take positions. So we would advise him, brief him on the matter.
 - Q. Anticipate...
- A. Perhaps suggest courses of action that he could take. It
 depends on the Attorney General. Some of them are quick
 studies and get to know what the matter is about very
 quickly and give you an answer very quickly. Others have to
 cogitate for awhile.
 - Q. And one last question that I've forgotten when I was talking

MR. GALE, EXAM. BY MR. MacDONALD

- about Mr. Giovannetti. If he had contacted you for advice,
 you said you would have gone to the Attorney General
 yourself. Would you have considered yourself going to Mr.
 Coles?
 - A. Not unless I could not get the Attorney General, and probably for the simple expedience, a matter of expediency that the Attorney General is the one that makes the decision, ultimately, and undoubtedly, Mr. Coles might want to contact the Attorney General himself. I felt if I was to do it, I would have contacted the Attorney General directly and only Mr. Coles if the Attorney General was not available. And if neither of them were available, then I guess I would have had to make the decision myself.
 - Q. You wouldn't hesitate though to contact Mr. Coles, because of his prior association with Mr. Pace?
 - A. NO, I would not have hesitated to contact him on that, except that it would put Mr. Coles in a, I would have thought, if it were me, I'd be in an unenviable sort of position of somebody saying that you do it because of whatever your decision was, you find it hard to talk to, about that matter because your friend is involved.

MR. MACDONALD

My Lords, if this is a convenient time. I'm going to move on to another area.

5	MR. GALE, EXAM. BY MR. MacDONALD
1	MR. CHAIRMAN
2	Fair enough, until 9:30.
3	4:28 p.m. INQUIRY ADJOURNED UNTIL 9:30 a.m. JUNE 7TH.
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
23	t.

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, Margaret E. Graham, Court Reporter, certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of all the evidence taken by way of recording and reduced to typewritten copy.

Margaret E. Graham

DATED THIS 6thday of June

1988 at Dartmouth, Nova Scotia