13012 MS GOULD, EXAM. BY MR. SPICER MR. PRINGLE We have no questions, My Lord. 2 MR. BAILEY 3 No, thank you very much. 4 MR. CHAIRMAN 5 Thank you very much, Superintendent Vaughan. A short 6 recess. 7 11:00 a.m. INQUIRY RECESSED UNTIL 11:21 a.m. 8 MR. CHAIRMAN 9 Mr. Spicer? 10 MR. SPICER 11 Thank you, My Lord. The next witness is **EVA GOULD**. 12 13 EVA GOULD, duly called and sworn, testified as follows: 14 15 **EXAMINATION BY MR. SPICER** 16 Your name is Eva Gould? Q. 17 That's right. A. 18 Where do you live? Q. 19 Right now, I live at 126 Membertou Street Rear. Α. 20 Q. Okay, now we're going to have a little problem hearing you, I 21 think. Can you talk a little closer to the mic, because your 22 voice is quite quiet and I'm having a little trouble picking it 23

It might get better as my nervousness goes.

up.

24

13013 MS GOULD, EXAM. BY MR. SPICER

- Q. Sorry? Okay. Ms. Gould, you were brought up on the Eskasoni Reserve, is that right?
- 3 A. That's right.
- Q. And your mother was Dutch; I believe your father is a native, is that correct?
- 6 A. That's right.
- Q. You left that reserve at about age 14.
- 8 A. That's right.
- Q. At some point later on, you became involved in the native court worker program in Nova Scotia.
- A. Yes, in 19... It was the early part of '72.
- Q. For how long were you associated with the native court worker program?
- A. If I can remember right, it was, like I say, the early part of '72 right through to the... April '86, I believe it was.
- 16 Q. '76?
- 17 A. Yeah, I'm sorry, '76.
- Q. Okay. During that period of four years... Were you, in fact, at one stage of the game the coordinator of that program?
- A. Yes, I was.
- Q. For what part of that period of time were you the coordinator?
- A. I believe it was from the first... around January '74... I've got to keep thinking. We're talking '74 here. '74 until '76 in April.

13014 MS GOULD, EXAM, BY MR, SPICER

- 1 | Q. Okay, so basically...
- A. When they terminated it at the time.
- Q. The last two years, you were associated with the program.
- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. During the time that you were associated with the program from '72 to '76, did you appear in court fairly often?
- 8 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Did you have an opportunity as a court worker appearing in court to see various lawyers working in the courtrooms?
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you have an opportunity to observe Donald C. MacNeil from time to time?
- A. Okay, I've got to put a picture on that face first, that name.

 Yeah, he was a prosecutor, right?
- 16 Q. That's right.
- 17 A. Yes. Yes, I did.
- Q. I remember I asked Mr. Francis this question, and I'm going to ask you the same question as to whether or not you observed whether or not Mr. MacNeil treated native accused any differently than he would have treated whites?
- A. What a question!
- Q. If you're not able to offer an opinion, just say you don't know.
- A. Well, I do know, so I can't say I don't know, but I can't answer that a yes or no.

13015 MS GOULD, EXAM, BY MR, SPICER

2

3

5

6

7

18

- Q. Okay, well, just give me your answer.
- A. I saw him quite often deal with natives and white people in a number of different ways. Some that I was quite shocked at and some I was quite pleased with.
- Q. Overall, did you have any sense that he treated natives in a discriminatory fashion, in a way that was less respectful than he would have treated whites?
- A. That's the exact word I was just about to use. I was going to say often there was not as much courtesy, not as much respect, and it was almost as if, "Get this over with and get you out of my way. You're just a nuisance and a bother, the whole works of you."
- Q. That last comment, you're saying, refers to the way that he dealt with natives from your experience?
- A. Natives and ourselves as court liaison officers, or court liaison people.
- Q. Would he deal with people other than natives in that fashion?
 - A. Honestly, I'd say yes, I have seen him deal with other people in that fashion, too.
- Q. Can you say whether or not those people would have been poor people, white people, blacks?
- A. Yeah. I think there was a little more respect shown to people that came from a higher or better class or a more well-educated type of person.
- 25 Q. You correct me if I'm wrong, but can I summarize what

13016 MS GOULD, EXAM. BY MR. SPICER

- you've just said as meaning that, from your experience, Mr.

 MacNeil treated people from lower socioeconomic classes with

 less respect and that happened to include natives in large

 part?
- A. Sometimes. I'm having a problem seeing you and I'm having a problem...
- Q. Is it the light? If I stand, if I get my head so that my shadow is over you, is that better?
- 9 A. Yes, thank you.
- Q. I'll try not to move. Did you also have an opportunity to observe Simon Khattar?
- 12 A. He's a lawyer?
- 13 Q. Yes.
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. And the same question, did you have an opportunity to
 observe whether or not, in your view, he treated natives any
 differently than anybody else in the courtroom?
- A. I don't know in court as per se when the session, like the
 court is in session. But I've seen him dealing with native
 people just prior to getting into court, let's say, preparing for?
- Q. Right.
- A. In a fashion that, to me, was condescending.
- Q. In what sense?
- A. In that talking to them, the tone was "Let's rush and hurry," and "Are you sure that's what you're saying and you're not

13017 MS GOULD, EXAM. BY MR. SPICER

- just making it up?" Or "Are you not lying? Isn't that what
 I'm saying more what you mean to say?" This type of thing.
 - Q. Did you ever see him doing that type of pre-court preparation with witnesses that were not native?
- A. No, because I had no opportunity to be in an area where he, with him at that time.
- Q. So you're not able to say whether or not he treated natives any differently than whites in that respect?
- 9 A. No, I...

3

4

- Q. Okay. During the time that you were with the court worker program, are you able to tell us, generally, whether you sensed that native defendants had any difficulties, language difficulties, in the courtroom?
- 14 A. Some of them had some of the time.
- Q. Right.
- A. But not all the time and not always. When I was... Can I explain something to you?
- 18 Q. Yes.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. When I was dealing with the native person in court or whoever we happened to be dealing with that was a part of that judicial system at the time, whether it was a probation officer, parole officer, a judge, a prosecutor, or a lawyer, or who, Children's Aid, whoever, I would take the native person. They would tell me, talk to me about... The native person would tell me what their concern or what they were trying to

2

21

22

23

24

25

pass on to the party that we were talking to.

- Q. You were acting as a intermediary, more or less?
- I would try and make sure that I understood and passed it on 3 to the party we were talking to. Like there's three people 4 now sitting here having these discussions, and I would then 5 clarify with the native person, "Did I understand you right and is that what you're meaning to pass on to this person?" 7 And they would verify or not verify. And if they didn't, we would take the time to try and, you know, make sure we 9 understood what the native person was getting, trying to get 10 And if we couldn't, we would bring in somebody that across. 11 was more fluent and more able, because I never professed to 12 be fluent in Micmac. I have a good working command of it. 13 It's a very complicated language. I understand it better than 14 I can speak it back. And I was always very careful and lots 15 of the times, especially when we were dealing with the 16 National Parole Service and Adult Probation and Legal Aid 17 and the Juvenile Courts and the Family Court systems, we were always asked to be very patient with making sure the people understood, I always had to make sure. 20
 - Q. Did you ever have occasion to ask for the use of an interpreter?
 - A. Yes, I... Do I understand you right? Did I ever go to the court and ask them if I could interpret for someone?
 - Q. Of if you wanted to have...

13019 MS GOULD, EXAM. BY MR. SPICER

- A. Or if I could bring in somebody else?
- Q. Bring in an interpreter?
- A. Yes, we did.

4

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Did you ever see judges do that of their own initiative?
- A. Yes, I was going to add, and I also had several, or quite often
 I've had a judge feel that he wanted to make sure this person,
 and I can think of a couple of specific cases. He wanted to
 make sure these people understood, so he asked, since I was
 sitting there, if I would act as an interpreter or if I could get
 someone to act as an interpreter for them.
 - Q. Do you remember who the judge was?
 - A. I remember... Let me see, I've got to put a name on this picture again. Lavette, George Lavette. I remember him doing that, especially in the courts in Baddeck. And I remember O'Connor, Charles? John F. did it.
 - Q. John F. MacDonald?
 - A. Uh-huh. And the family court one, Edwards? I believe there was an Edwards in Family Court. Now this is awhile ago and I'm trying to remember these names and I've got all kinds of faces in my head.
 - Q. Did you ever experience any difficulty when you requested an interpreter with a judge saying, "No, you're not going to get one"?
- A. Yeah, I've had that happen too. "I don't see the need for it. I don't feel that there's a need for it. I've dealt with this

- person before and had no problem." And I was told that the request was not going to be granted by some of those same judges.
 - Q. Some time ago, in fact, it seems a long time ago now, Bernie Francis gave some testimony concerning an incident involving Lou Matheson and Judge John F. MacDonald. And later on, when John F. MacDonald gave his testimony, he was being questioned by Mr. Ruby about that incident and it's apparent from Mr. Ruby's examination that you had spoken either to him or to counsel working with him and Mr. Ruby had suggested to John F. MacDonald a version of that story, which I'm just going to review with you now and ask you whether or not this is your recollection of it, because Mr. Ruby certainly suggested to John F. MacDonald that this is your recollection of the story.
 - A. Can I ask you not to review what you've got there and just tell you what I remember?
 - Q. You can go ahead and do that, if you'd like, sure.
 - A. That's why I didn't want anybody talking to me. I don't want to try to... I want to remember it like I remembered it. I think the incident they were referring to happened in a courthouse in Sydney. Lou Matheson was prosecuting that day. John F. was the judge. There was several legal aid lawyers there and several... Well, the court docket was quite heavy on that day. There was also on that day, part of the

court docket consisted of about five or six native people, several of whom had been there, like repeatedly. One particular person had been there, like I think this was her third or fourth time in a period of four months and I had been there with her a couple of times previous to that and had remembered hearing a judge almost pleading with her, "Don't come back into this court because I don't know what I'm going to do with you," this judge.

- Q. Same judge? John F?
- A. Yeah, and then she's there and all of a sudden, he realizes who it is and he was... I mean he was having not too good a day because he was, I mean he was obviously in a rush and he was tired and he was a little bit upset over something.

 And he came to this native person and he was getting a little bit, I guess, tired with all the native crimes coming up or something and he says... he called this name and a person stood up and he looked and he said, "Oh, no, not you again. What are we going to do?" And he looks over at the other person sitting there, which is the prosecutor, and he says...
- Q. And is that Mr. Matheson?
- A. Uh-huh, and he says, "What are we going to do to keep her out of this court? We'll build a fence around the reserve or what?" And Mr. Matheson half stood and half didn't, like he just got up on one, and says, "I don't know, Judge, maybe we have to."

13022 MS. GOULD, EXAM. BY MR. SPICER

Or something to that nature. And he sat back down and then the Judge looked at the lady and he says to her...she's standing there now in front of the...well, wherever it was they stand there, you'd have to see the courtroom to see what I can picture.

11:35 a.m.

1

2

3

4

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. Right.
- A. And he says to her, "What am I going to do with you?

 You're here again." and then the case just went on. Mr.

 Francis stood up, I think, just between...before that...after

 Matheson made his comment, Bernie jumped up and said

 something to the Judge about his statement and the Judge
 looked at him and said, "Order in the court, be seated or
 leave," or something like this.
- Q. Uh-hum.
- A. Bernie Francis then got up, went to the door and banged his way out of the courtroom and I thought he left. I stayed.

 There was several more people there and this girl was quite upset, she was already very nervous and then she didn't know what was going to happen to her really.
- Q. This is the defendant now you're talking about.
- And I just said, I was sitting very near her so I just said to her, in MicMac, as best as I could, I said to her, "Just keep calm and try to take a couple of deep breaths."
- 25 Q. Was...

13023 MS. GOULD, EXAM. BY MR. SPICER

- A. And then she said something, I don't know, I don't remember, she started talking to the Judge and then the Judge read her sentence to her and she, I think it was that she pleaded guilty and the court just went on, the next people, the next people, the next, then we left.
- Q. Was there then a meeting in Judge MacDonald's office?
- A. Okay. When I went...when we all left the court chambers and went out into the hall to leave the building, Bernie Francis was still there. I thought he had gone because he had been out there for quite some time.
- Q. Right.

- A. He then...he was very upset, his face was all flushed and he was quite upset. He said...I thought we were going to go and he said, "Come on." He was very upset and his voice tone was very harsh and he was my boss and he says, "Come on, we're going to go down there. I'm going to let him know he can't get away with remarks like that."
- Q. Now, who is "he" now, who is he talking about? The Judge or Matheson?
- 20 A. The judge.
 - Q. The judge, okay.
 - A. And he went down, we went down the hall and he went into the little office and he requested to see the Judge. We were told that he was busy right now. There was several people there. He said, "Well, I'll wait and I'll wait as long as I have

13024 MS. GOULD, EXAM, BY MR. SPICER

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

- to." And I looked at him and I said, "Bernie, maybe we should go and come back later or whatever," and he said, "No, I'll wait." He kept saying, "I'll wait." A few seconds later, I think the girls name was Olga, she went back in and she said to the Judge, "Mr. Francis is still here and he's insisting on waiting," and the Judge said, "I'll see him," and Bernie says, "Come on, let's go." He went in and then they had the discussion.
 - Q. What was the discussion?
- A. The Judge's office was very small so Bernie went in up to
 the Judge's desk and it had only took maybe one or two
 footsteps to get him right to the Judge's desk and, oh, my
 mind went blank. He says, his voice is very loud and he's
 talking...
 - Q. Who's this, Bernie now or the Judge?
- A. No, the Judge didn't say anything, not yet. Bernie is
 expressing his displeasure with what the Judge had said and
 indicating that he was discriminatory and that he wanted an
 apology. I believe he said he wanted a public apology.

 Anyways...
- Q. Where are you at this point? Are you in the room too?
- A. I'm in the room at the door.
- Q. Right.
- A. Like I'm right at the entrance of the door because Bernie says, "Come on, come on." So anyway, the discussion goes on

20

21

22

23

25

and the Judge has by this time pushed his chair a little 1 bit...as far back as he could push it against the wall and he's 2 sitting there and he says. My mind has gone blank again. 3 He says something, anyways to the effect that he was...he didn't feel he had anything to apologize for or something 5 like this and then there was some exchange, quite loud, 6 between the Judge and Bernie and Bernie, I can remember 7 Bernie hitting the desk and the Judge's face turning white 8 and I says to Bernie, "Come on, Bernie, I think we should 9 leave." And Bernie says something like, "I'm going to go 10 report this to the Union of Nova Scotia Indians and Human Rights," or something and the Judge says, "Well, it's my court 12 and I can do what I want; I don't care if you take it back to 13 the Union." Or, "Take it back to the Union if you please, it 14 doesn't bother me," or something like that and Bernie just 15 turned around and grabbed me by the arm or looked at me 16 and says, "Come on, let's get out of here," and we left. 17 Q. Your recollection then is that Bernie's comments were 18

- Q. Your recollection then is that Bernie's comments were directed towards suggestion that it was Judge John F. MacDonald that had made the comment about the fence around Eskasoni and not Mr. Matheson.
- A. No, Matheson didn't say it. Matheson just sort of halfed agreed with it because the Judge said it. Who he was saying it to, I still don't know. He said it and when he was sort of like finishing his statement or maybe when he was saying

- the whole thing and he was sort of like looking at Matheson, who was sitting there and that's why Matheson sort of half 2 got up and says,"Yes," whatever he said to him. 3 Q. Have you had occasion to discuss your recollection of that incident with Mr. Francis? 5 He came to the office where I worked, apparently it was A. 6 after he made the statement in front of... 7 The Commission. Q. The Commission, I believe it was, one morning. A. Q. Right. Did you relate to him your recollection of what, in 10 fact, had occurred? 11 A. I told him exactly what I just told you. 12 What was his response? Q. Right. 13 A. Gee, I don't remember right now. What was his response? 14 Oh, that I was probably remembering it different, and I said, 15 "Bernie, I even remember the clothes you were wearing that 16 day." But anyway. They talked to me a couple times about 17 how I remembered and didn't remember things, but I can't 18 help it. I don't think too many people that observed the 19 same thing would interpret it all in the same way anyway. 20 Q. At this point in time, you say you've had a couple of 21 discussions with Bernie about it. Do the two of you then still 22 disagree as to who made that comment? 23
 - A. I don't know, because I was never trying to agree or disagree. I don't know where he stands on it. I just had

13027 MS. GOULD, EXAM. BY MR. SPICER

- that one discussion with him when he came to my office
 where I work now and then when I was talking to the lady...
- Q. Anne Derrick.
- A. Yes. Bernie...when she asked to talk to me about that, Bernie was there and wouldn't leave and he sort of just again said he remembered it a little different than I remembered it.
- Q. So, it's fair to say that the two of you still have different recollections of what happened?
- 9 A. I guess so, I don't...
- Q. If I could just ask you for a moment, you have that Volume
 41 in front of you, if you could turn to page 53 of that
 volume. Actually probably I suppose 52.
- A. Oh, yeah, I remember that, I made that up.
- Q. Okay. You recognize the document that commences at page 52.
- A. Yeah. I made that up when I worked in the Native Court
 Worker's Program.
- Q. Okay. And this is a document, is it, that describes in general terms the functions...the function of the Native Court Worker Program and what it was that the program was all about.
- A. Very condensed, yeah.
- Q. Sure.
- A. The reason I made this up was to try and...there was some form of it in existence but basically it didn't...it was just...contained just a tiny portion. I just enhanced it a little.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

The idea of this was to try and create an awareness amongst 1 everybody that we were working with as to exactly what we 2 were trying to do, not just the native community. 3 were a little bit aware of it. But the people that we worked 4 with in the justice system, and if we left things like this around I was hoping that they would have looked at it and wanted to...I offered...I sent covering letters with these to 7 different offices indicating that "Here is what it's about and 8 I'd appreciate your looking at it, I would appreciate your comments. If you have any questions we're more than 10 anxious to sit down and have a discussion with you on 11 them." 12

- Q. You've had an opportunity to look at this document again in the last hour or so.
- A. I quickly skimmed it a few seconds ago, yes.
- Q. Right. Are you able to tell us whether or not the items that you set out in this document fairly represent what the program was all about at the time in 1974 and '75?
- A. Very briefly it was. To me the main idea of the program was to liaison between the native people and the criminal justice system. And, you couldn't put too much in here, so I just tried to outline some of the duties and how we tried to do that.
- Q. Right.
- A. With no budget to put something like this together and no

13029 MS. GOULD, EXAM. BY MR. SPICER

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

24

- money to get it printed it was a chore. So, you couldn't put too much in. Just enough to try and give a brief idea and, yeah, I pretty well covered some of the main areas that we worked in.
- Q. At the time you were involved the court worker program, were you satisfied that the native court worker program was fulfilling a useful function?
- A. Yes,i t was. It was in that it was assisting some of the, well, it was assisting the native people to better understand what was happening to them, better be able to accept it and to help themselves within the system, because to me it wasn't just... you do something for someone to help them at a point. You educate them how to help themselves in case this might happen again in the future, and you...you also were there to assist the non-native public that were dealing with native peoples to become better aware of some of the problems that they may have in understanding and relating to the system.
- Q. So, it...
- A. So it did serve a function, although it was a very difficult function in that you didn't belong to a specific group or organization.
- Q. Right.
 - A. You tried to fit in the best you could and tried to get accepted the best you could. I think your personality and

MS. GOULD, EXAM. BY MR. SPICER

- the personalities of the people you were dealing with sometimes made that a little easier and it sometimes it didn't.
- Q. And it wasn't then just a court worker program per se. If I'm hearing you correctly, it involved liaison with a lot of agencies other than just the court.
- A. It was with all agencies really. We did an extensive amount of work with National Parole Service, with Adult Probation Services, with Children's Aid and family adoptions. I've done change of names. I worked with change of names with Legal Aid, with private practising lawyers where they would handle native cases when the native people could afford it and like they wanted to know something about how do you find out this or who in the community should I contact about this or which government department or Indian Affairs department or whatever. Yeah, it was not just in the courtroom or helping somebody get a lawyer to get to court. And then there was a lot of after court work. If the sentence was, like follow up, I guess, is the words that I'm looking for.
- Q. With a view to educating the native person how to deal with the system and to educate white people how to deal with natives in the system, is that a fair assessment? Yes.
- A. Yes. I see that as the role of any facilitator in any position.
- Q. Page 55, that volume. It's a note to Alex Denny care of

A.

MS. GOULD, EXAM. BY MR. SPICER

- yourself and refers in September of 1975, it was the understanding of the Attorney General's Department that the Union had terminated the Court Worker's Program and laid off all court workers. Can you explain to us why that happened at that time?
- A. I don't know. I...
- Q. Do you remember it happening?
- A. I was never laid off, that's why I'm confused at this. I remember some type of political thing now, there was two organizations starting up.
- Q. Uh-hum.
 - And it had something to do with federal funding and provincial funding, but I was actually never laid off from the time I started work until the time I finished in '76, when the program finished and I had resigned anyway, ah, because I had another job, but I don't know. I believe there was something for awhile there to do with the Union and provincial-federal funding and they wanted to not have provincial funding and this was a provincially-funded program. And, then again the Union of the Non-Status and Métis Association, I think, and I think the Union had some funding problems within itself and didn't think it could continue to carry some of the programs that it was running that time and was going to terminate a few of them or...

MS. GOULD, EXAM. BY MR. SPICER

11:50 a.m.

No.

2

1

Q. Do I take it from your comments that you don't fully recollect what it was that happened at that time in 1975?

3

3

4

A.

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24 25 Q. If I could just ask you to flip over to page 65.

A. And I'm really puzzled by that, because I know I was never laid off. 65?

Q. Yeah, 65 and 66, I guess, which is a letter that went with it. It seems to be a letter of resignation from yourself as Coordinator of the Court Worker Program effective November 24, 1975. 65 and... That's it, 65 and then 66.

A. Okay. Oh, I remember him, Jim Crane.

Q. You told me a little while ago that you actually left in April of '76. I'm just wondering, I can see in the note on 66 attached to your resignation, there's somebody's handwriting that says, "Withdrew her resignation until further notice."

A. I remember that. Like I said, I had several times wanted to resign and I remember the...

Q. For what reason?

A. Actually, I don't remember all the reasons right now. I know I had a family of about seven that I was running. I was taking a course at university in the nights and I was running this program, which took me all across the province.

Q. I guess all I'm...

A. And then there was problems within the Union itself.

13033 MS. GOULD, EXAM. BY MR. SPICER

- Q. Were you dissatisfied with the way the program was going at the time?
- A. It was a very hard program to work with.
- Q. For what reason?

- A. The reason. If the program was set up a little differently, I think it would have been easier for us to work and be accepted by the people that we were trying to assist. Not necessarily by the native people, but by the people within the justice system.
- Q. Can you give us some help then as to in what way do you think the program could have been set up better so that it would have been more readily acceptable?
- A. I never thought of that, but we were trying to function...

 What we were trying to do was assist judges, lawyers,
 prosecutors, probation officers in providing a service to or
 dealing with a native person when the oppor... or the occasion
 came up that they had to. And when you're trying to assist
 someone in providing a service to someone else, when you
 have not... When your command of their, you don't have too
 great a command of the job that they're doing because you're
 not in it.
- Q. That's right.
- A. You're not even a part of it. You ask a lot of questions. I asked a lot of questions, did a lot of reading. If I was dealing with National Parole, I got along with Jim MacNeil like a

13034 MS. GOULD, EXAM, BY MR. SPICER

1

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

father/daughter relationship. He helped me a lot to understand a lot of things, and Bernie. Bernie MacNeil, I believe his name is, too. He's still there. You had to try and learn, let's say, the parole system in order to be able to help them help the native and the native become more aware of it and to understand it well enough. And then you'd have to, if you were switching on the same day to work with Family Court, you had to learn all about that again and you just had to keep going around. Like, if we were properly trained or if we were stationed in one or two... If you had native people, let's say, a native parole officer that was a bona fide, legitimate parole officer and that person was responsible for all the normal duties of a probation officer, plus maybe the extra duty of assisting when a native was in front of the board of was on parole or whatever. Whether that person was on parole to this native parole officer or to one of his coworkers...

- Q. Are you suggesting that it would have been easier for the court worker program to work if there had been more native representation on the institutional side as well as on the court worker side?
- A. I think so. We would be better able to understand what was going on, let's say. The court worker program tried to be a be-all to everyone and I think that was spreading yourself much too thin. Because it was really hard. It took a lot of

study and work. And if you had a system where you had several native people in some capacity working within some of these departments, to be there as facilitators or to be there as a part... Can I use myself as an example? I'm an employee of the federal government, Employment and Immigration Canada. I'm an employment counsellor, PM-2. I also assist with native people that come to our department that require the assistance. Not all of them do. I assist the native person that wants the help and/or I assist a counsellor that wants help in dealing with the native community or the native client. So I'm an employee, I'm fully versed. I have a very good command of all of the programs and services provided by that particular department. And, therefore, I'm in a position that I can walk in and fit into any situation or any meeting or liaise or help in any way. And it makes it much easier than if I were working for a department, whether it's Indian Affairs, Union of Nova Scotia Indians, or whatever other Indian organization exists, and was asked every now and then, "Go to Employment and Immigration and help out with this situation that they're trying to deal with or this service that they're trying to provide to a native community."

21

23

24

A. Yes.

Q.

MARGARET E. GRAHAM DISCOVERY SERVICE, COURT REPORTERS DARTMOUTH, NOVA SCOTIA

representatives of the provincial government?

Did you have, during the course of your employment with the

court worker program, did you have a degree of liaison with

13036 MS. GOULD, EXAM. BY MR. SPICER

- Q. How did you feel you were treated by the representatives of the provincial government?
- A. You're talking about who when you say "provincial government"? I'd like specifics.
- Q. You had some liaison, did you not, with the Attorney General's Department?
- A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Did you have any liaison with Mr. Coles and Mr. Gale?
- Mr. Coles, Mr. Gale, and Mr. Crane. I worked very, very well A. with Mr. Crane and very closely. We were on an advisory 10 board together and that's something that I had wanted 11 always because these people were funding the program. 12 They also were, I understood, the bosses of a lot of the people 13 that we were supposed to be working with. And they were in 14 a position to help liaison our working relationship with these 15 people. And Mr. Crane helped me out in many ways in 16 getting to be, in getting our aims and objectives of our 17 program, getting ourselves accepted and... 18
- 19 Q. Mr. Coles?
- A. Mr. Crane more than anybody else.
- Q. All right, but what kind of a relationship did you have with Mr. Coles?
- A. Mr. Coles, we had several meetings. We had several
 discussions. We worked to try and improve. He would ask
 how we felt it could be or couldn't be improved and I don't

13037 MS. GOULD, EXAM. BY MR. SPICER

- remember too much else about him. Because everything was sort of like delegated to someone under him, I think. He appeared at several of our meetings. He seemed cooperative, but sometimes frustrated.
- 5 Q. Frustrated by what?
- A. He dealt more with... Mostly, he dealt with the, let's say, what would be my boss.
- Q. Right.
- 9 A. Not with me, per se.
- Q. When you left the court worker program in April of '76, I think you indicated a few minutes ago it was because you had another job at that point.
- 13 A. That's right.
- Q. Was there any... Was your leaving the court worker program in any way attributable to any degree of frustration with the program itself?
- A. That's one of the reasons I wanted to leave. I was getting very tired and... Can I get a Kleenex?
- 19 Q. Sure.

22

- A. I was getting very tired and it was a lot of area to cover. You had to be on the road a lot of the time and I had a big family.
 - Q. Was that unusual to you because you were the Coordinator that you travelled as much as you did?
- A. Well, even when I wasn't, I was still required to travel quite a bit because there was not, like there was a lot of area to cover

MS. GOULD, EXAM. BY MR. SPICER

- and we only had, I think at one point, there was just Bernie and I and I...
 - Q. You were responsible for the whole province or just for Cape Breton?
 - A. No, the whole province. I'd go to court in Yarmouth, in Windsor, in Antigonish, in Baddeck, in Louisdale, in Glace...

 North Sydney.
 - Q. Are you able to say at this point whether or not you think that a program like the native court worker program would be, would still serve some use in Nova Scotia?
 - A. I think so. I think if you had a program and the people were properly trained, people with empathy and compassion for others, patience, and if the program could be somehow made to be accepted by the system that we're trying to serve, the court system, let's say, I think it would work well.
 - Q. Do you think that the court system, as you call it, did accept the court worker program during the time that you worked in it?
 - A. They were starting to become... Well, the first thing you have to do is try to get them to accept you as a person and realize that you're not there to sort of like watch them... I don't know what word I'm looking for. I'm at a loss right now. But there was a feeling there for the longest time, and some people never let go of it. They made it very difficult for you to work with them because the thing was, "Who in the name

1

2

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

MS. GOULD, EXAM. BY MR. SPICER

of God are you to come in here and even watch or suggest or tell me or that I may need your help?" And the first thing was to try to get yourself accepted, to build up your credibility, your dependability, your reliability, and your sincerity and just to get them to know you as a person. That took a lot of work and a lot of effort and it proved to be very successful and helpful. There are several people that I made, got to know at that time that I'm still very close friends with.

MR. SPICER

Thanks very much.

MS. GOULD

Gee, when you said "Thanks very much," I thought you were going to say that I could get down now.

MR. SPICER

No.

MS. EDWARDH

Just a couple of questions, Mrs. Gould.

EXAMINATION BY MS. EDWARDH

19

21

22

23

24

25

Q. I wanted to just pick up on an area that Mr. Spicer raised with you, which was the process of acceptance in the courts and just see if you could assist us in commenting upon. Was, first of all, Sydney, in your experience in the courts in the Sydney area, any different than your experience in the courts throughout Nova Scotia?

13040 MS. GOULD, EXAM. BY MS. EDWARDH

A. I would say yes.

2

3

7

R

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

23

24

- Q. Can you explain just for our benefit in what way it would be different?
 - I think the only way I could explain would be to relate it to For one, the Sydney courtroom was always much situations. bigger and much busier and very, sometimes more formal than, let's say, sittings in a courthouse in Baddeck that was set up for court for that day only, or in Louisdale or in some of the other places. I can remember being in a courtroom in St. Peters and almost, well, you were always accepted as just being... You were expected to be there. When you weren't, they would call up and ask "Where were you?" "Is someone on the way?" Or, "Are you coming?" And sometimes when the judges were going out, I can remember one specific judge wanted to make sure that we were going to be there, so he called and offered to drive us out. The setting was less formal and made it easier to fit in. You were more accepted. I think they depended on you a little more because some of the isolated or rural communities, the people spoke more Micmac or were less well educated or more withdrawn or something and it made it a little more difficult for them to relate to and be related back to in the systems.
- Q. Would it be fair then to summarize your observations as indicating that your experience in Sydney showed that you were less acceptable in the Sydney court system than in

MS. GOULD, EXAM. BY MS. EDWARDH

perhaps smaller communities?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And in terms of dealing with, I'm going to use the term resistance, in dealing with the resistance to your participation in the courts, did you have an opportunity, for example, to sit and discuss the role of, that you wanted to play or that Mr. Francis wanted to play with the prosecutor, Donnie MacNeil?
- A. I remember trying a couple of times before I became court worker and I was told, "Well, if you want that, why don't you get your bosses to do that? Get them to write me a letter or something." And then after when I had a little more say in the thing and we discussed it at one of our board meetings and Mr. Crane wrote up a letter explaining the program and we made these pamphlets and things and I went back at another time and offered to, or indicated that I'd like to talk to him and we did have some talk but I think it was more to humour me than to anything else. That's the feeling I got from that particular person at that time. But with many others, it was quite receptive.
- Q. No, but with respect to Mr. MacNeil for a moment, was there any time with respect to your involvement with him that he made any efforts to assist you in implementing the program?
- A. Any efforts to assist in implementing the program? I think the nearest effort he would have made to assist me in any way was to ask me for my version of what he thought had

13042 MS. GOULD, EXAM. BY MS. EDWARDH

3

4

6

7

- happened or what he, as a prosecutor, should know. Like he was trying to get information from us.
 - Q. Would that be in terms of getting a statement from an accused person through you?
 - A. Not so much a statement as like this is just before we're going into court. I can remember a couple of times him coming and saying, "Well, you know, this is...We don't have too much on this case. What can you tell us about it?"
- 9 Q. Any other helpful activities?
- A. Not really, because he was a very hard person to work with or for or to try to communicate with.
- Q. What do you mean?
- A. He just made me feel that I was a nuisance, or we were a nuisance and he didn't need us. He could do his job without us.
- Q. In fact, would it be fair to say with respect to him, he was one of the individuals who was not accepting of your role?
- 18 A. He said he didn't need us.
- Q. Now with respect to the bench and the judges that you dealt with in the Sydney area...
- A. But see, listen, the judges in the Sydney area are the same judges, some of them, that went to Baddeck and Louisboug and some of those other places... I mean Arichat.
- Q. I appreciate that, but mostly they don't sit throughout the whole province, although they would sit in areas outside

MS. GOULD, EXAM. BY MS. EDWARDH

- Sydney. Would they ever call upon your assistance or did you ever have occasion to have a formal meeting with them to discuss what you could do to assist them in the courts and how they could use you to facilitate their own task?
- A. Yes. Like I said, I had contacted all of, and Gordon... Jim Crane had assisted in contacting all of the judges and prosecutors and when I made a personal contact to them, several of them responded.
- Q. And when you say... Let's deal, first of all, with the bench.
- A. We sat down and talked about my role in the courts and they sort of indicated how they would like to use us and what they thought we should be doing or could be doing and how we could be helping and some of them said, "Well, we appreciate it in any way that you could help us."

12:10 p.m.

- Q. There's a comment that I wanted to draw to your attention made by His Honour Judge MacDonald about his perceptions of being uncomfortable with both yourself and Mr. Francis because occasionally you would want to talk about individual cases and, therefore, he felt he really shouldn't have anything to do with you. Do you recall ever trying to speak to him about individual cases and, if so, in what context would you have occasion to have those kind of discussions?
- A. He was one of the judges that we couldn't hardly get to talk to period. I mean even to explain what we were doing. And one

MS. GOULD, EXAM. BY MS. EDWARDH

of the things we were, that was pointed out to us when we started, me, when I started working in the Court Workers

Program and, again, when I worked with the advisory board,

I was, I used to ask, you know, just what role do we play in relation in trying to talk to a judge about a case before it's being heard and that was always a no-no. I don't think even lawyers do that.

O. No.

5

8

9

10

11

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. So we did not, I did not ever attempt to do it.
- Q. Okay.
- A. The only time I would talk to a judge is, and I had, I have talked to John F. was after everything was finished.

 Something very similar to Bernie Francis' instance would happen, or if it happened, I would just very quietly, after the thing was over, call him up or ask to see him and sit there and just indicate to him, "Do you realize that this was said and it could probably look like," just for the awareness. To create the awareness so that maybe he would be a little more cautious.
 - Q. And what was, for example, His Honour Judge MacDonald's response when you would point to areas of your concern or comments that may have been made that were questionable or problematic?
 - A. I'd say it depended on his mood. If his mood was, sometimes we was receptive, sometimes he admitted, or acknowledged, I

MS. GOULD, EXAM. BY MS. EDWARDH

- can remember once him saying, "I think I have to be a little more, I think I should be a little more on guard." Things like that.
- Q. And on guard, was he relating that to a specific comment that he had made in relation to native people?
- A. Yeah, on guard to what he's saying.
- Q. And do you recall what that comment was?
- A. Not right now, no.
 - Q. If you could give us an overview of your observations of the administration of justice in that time period in Sydney, would you have any comment as to how native people were viewed in the courts? Were they viewed differently? Were they viewed in the same way? Was there any aspect of their treatment that particularly bothered you?
 - A. That's almost the same as what he had asked and the answer, I think, I understand it to be the same. The answer would be like some, in, sometimes, I felt that a lot of the times it was dealt with, like, let's get this over with and done with. It's a nuisance. It's just a routine. Smack, dab, get it over with. Nobody's going to complain. Nobody's going to know. If these people don't watch us then it won't get anywhere.
 - Q. Did you ever come to the conclusion in watching either the court or native people interact with police or prosecutors, that they were less likely to be believed or even the parole board.
 - A. Not necessarily the parole board. But the prosecutors and the

MS. GOULD, EXAM. BY MS. EDWARDH

- judges. I've heard the question, I've heard the same question put to the persons several, a person several times and asked, the person asked, "Do you want to change your answer now?"
- Q. And did you see that throughout the period of time you were a court worker?
- A. [No audible reply.]
- Q. Yes, I'm sorry, the witness nodded "yes." Now there's one other area that perhaps you could assist us with Mrs. Gould which is any observations you have about the interaction of police officers and native people who would be brought to court. Can you assist us in identifying any problems you observed between young native people charged, or even older native people charged, and the police officers who they were dealing with?
- A. Police officers, you mean like the city police and the RCMP?
- Q. Yes. Yes. Let's start with the city police first.
- A. A lot of them were very afraid of the city police. A lot of them didn't trust the city police and I don't think the city police believed a lot of them because, I say that because they would keep asking the same question over and over again trying to see if they could get, seemingly clarification on something that was crystal clear. Like, "Did you do it, yes or no?" And the person would say no and they'd keep asking the same, "Did you do it, yes or no?" And the person would still say no, and well, "If I put it this way will you change

MS. GOULD, EXAM. BY MS. EDWARDH

- your mind?" And then I've heard somebody say, "Well, I don't think you're telling the truth."
- Q. Why were individuals afraid? You used the term they were afraid of the city police. What was transpiring in the community that led you to that conclusion?
 - because I can only speak for the individuals that I am specifically thinking of and I think they were, well I don't think they were afraid of the police because they had had some bad experiences. Like being detained without given a reason or being treated roughly or being threatened. Like if you don't this, then, you know, this will happen. Or, this is what they're telling me, okay, and I saw a young boy that wasn't even, he was still a juvenile being, because of his size, taken by the City police, who I think were aware of the fact that he was still a juvenile because they knew the community and I think they knew the family and I think they knew the boy, taking him and putting him in the city lock-up.
- Q. Did you get involved in that?
- A. Only that, I believe it was the parents couldn't believe that this was happening and when they were called or when they were made aware of the fact that this person was here and they were called, needed assistance in going down on a drive or just wanted the moral support. A lot of our function was in providing moral support to people, too.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

MS. GOULD, EXAM. BY MS. EDWARDH

- Q. And so...
 - This was in the night time, if I remember right. And the mother was quite upset and the child had been at the city lock-up for a while and they didn't, they just didn't want to believe that he, the person was only, I think, 14.
 - If you had wanted to file a complaint or assist the parents of Q. this young person to file a complaint, to whom would you have gone in the police force in Sydney? Or any other organization. Where would you go?
- I would, my first reaction would have been to go to the police chief, chief of police, and try and discuss it with them. This is where I would always use, try to use the advisory board and 12 indicate to them what had just happened and get their advice. 13
 - Q. Did you have occasion to ever discuss, then, matters of your concern with Chief MacIntyre?
- Say that name again? 16
- John MacIntyre who was... Q. 17
 - A. But he wasn't, was he a chief then?
- Q. May not have been. I'm not sure what... 19
- A. A big person. 20
- Q. Yes.
 - No, he wasn't the chief of police then, I don't think.
- Q. He may have become the chief later. Did you have any 23 occasion to have any dealings with him. 24
- He didn't like us so we didn't have too much dealing with him Α. 25

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

MS. GOULD, EXAM. BY MS. EDWARDH

- because the impression was always, "I don't need you to do
 my work."
- Q. And when you say "like you", didn't like us, are you referring to yourself and Mr. Francis as the...
 - A. I'm not talking about Mr. Francis through any of this, am I?
- Q. No. I just, who do you mean by "us"? Are you talking about the program or...
- A. Yeah. The native Court Work Program. The Union of Nova

 Scotia Indians. The native people that think they were

 supposed to be supposedly helpers, mediators or whatever.
 - Q. So your comment about "us" is really that he didn't like any of the native people that he was dealing with, is that what you're saying?
 - A. That were trying to get involved in him performing his duties.

 Like I was, if I was trying to get, to give him some information or to try and pass him some information or to get some information from him to try and help the native person better understand what was happening to them, it was as if, "It's none of your business to be here. I don't have to give you this information." You know, this type of thing.
 - Q. Did he ever change his position towards yourself or anybody else that you're aware of so that he viewed the program as helpful?
- A. No. He's one person that, he's one person, even the name always scared me because of the, like he put on a big, I don't

MS. GOULD, EXAM. BY MS. EDWARDH

know if it was a big air or what, but he would come across as like you were going to be in trouble any minute for talking to him. You were going to be locked up or you were going to be, it was always intimidating to me. I was always very scared. And it wasn't just myself it was some people. And it was basically how he presented himself, how he talked to us, his tone of voice. How he treated you, type of thing.

- Q. Did he, during the course of the time that you observed him, did he treat yourself and other native people differently than he would a white police officer colleague?
- A. Yeah, because a white police officer was his colleague.

MS. EDWARDH

Those are my questions, Mrs. Gould, thank you for your patience.

COMMISSIONER EVANS

Did John MacIntyre treat the Indian boys or girls any differently than he treated the white boys or black boys?

A. I find that hard to answer because I didn't have too much dealing in seeing him with the non-, like it was none of my business to be there when he was dealing with non-native boys or girls or people. But I think when I saw him in, I'm putting myself back now to the courthouse in Sydney and while he was having interaction with people in the lobbies and just even in the courtroom itself before proceedings began, it seems that his dealing with some of the people, I

24

25

saw him talking to were, I couldn't hear what they were saying or anything, but it just seemed like the way he was presenting himself was a little more acceptable and 3 presentable and more polite. 4 **COMMISSIONER EVANS** 5 Thank you. 6 MR. MURRAY 7 My Lord, Mr. Pugsley and myself didn't anticipate that this witness would be on now, we anticipated another witness would be being called. I wonder if, at the Commission's indulgence given 10 that it's close to the lunch hour, if I might have the chance to 11 consult with Mr. Pugsley before we have to make our decisions. 12 **CHAIRMAN** 13 Are there any other, I'd like if possible, and I sense that Ms. 14 Gould doesn't find this a very enlightening experience and I'm 15 sure you'd like to, of course, to conclude your evidence as soon as 16 possible, Ms. Gould. 17 MRS. GOULD 18 I'd like to but I'm okay. 19 MR. MURRAY 20 Perhaps I could step out and be back within five minutes. **CHAIRMAN** 22

Fine. Okay. Who's next? Mr. Barrett? And I would ask counsel if, I ask it often, particularly bearing in mind Ms. Gould's interpretation of repetitive questions that, which is

MS. GOULD, EXAM. BY MR. BARRETT

very incisive I might add, that counsel bear that in mind and try and avoid going over with her areas that she has covered clearly and beyond doubt. Mr. Barrett.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

Q. Yes, Mrs. Gould, my name is David Barrett and I represent the Estate of the late Donald C. MacNeil. And I just have a few questions. In respect to your role as native court worker, I take it your role was to appear with accused natives in court.

EXAMINATION BY MR. BARRETT

- A. Yes.
- Q. And would this generally be first appearances for these individuals?
- A. Some of them it would be first appearances and some it would be repeat appearances.
 - Q. And I take it, then, you would refer these natives to Legal Aid if they chose to plead not guilty?
 - A. Not all of the time. Some of them were not eligible for legal aid, but yes we would, most of the time, refer them to or try to get legal aid. Lots of the times there was no legal aid available or they would choose not to.
 - Q. Now you've testified that you detected Mr. MacNeil treated natives and persons of lower socioeconomic position with discourtesy, with less courtesy?
- A. He certainly did me and some of the people that I dealt with.
- 25 Q. I'm wondering whether some of these individuals, would they

MS. GOULD, EXAM. BY MR. BARRETT

be repeat offenders?

- A. Some of them would be, yes.
- Q. And you've indicated, I suggest would this in any way perhaps, on his part, be frustration on his part? So you would detect it as frustration.
 - A. It could be frustration but the frustration was not always shown to repeated offenders that were not native people and I saw lots of non-native people appear repeatedly. Like I can even think of names right now that I saw appear five and six times, that wouldn't even come to us as native court workers, just sort of gives them the moral support they needed and they were treated a little differently in that they were treated more courteously and with more patience or whatever.
- Q. I'm sorry, these were native people?
 - A. Non-native people. Non-native, I can think of a few non-native people that used to come to us because there was nobody else there to help them. There was nobody else there for them to get some moral support from or some advice from or, and, or go to Legal Aid for or they didn't want to go to Legal Aid again.
 - Q. Mrs. Gould, on remand day, could you give me some idea as to the percentage of natives or lower socioeconomic group, would they make up the majority of people on remand day?
- A. That's really almost impossible for me to answer because at

13054 MS. GOULD, EXAM, BY MR. BARRETT

- any given time the courtroom was, had a lot of, a crosssection of people from every, almost every walk of life, every socioeconomic...
- 4 Q. I take it though...
- 5 A. Background.
- Q. I take it that remand day in Sydney was a busy day in court.
- 7 A. It was normally busier than...
- 8 Q. Other courthouses?
- 9 A. Um-hmm.
- Q. Now you've testified you felt Mr. MacNeil treated individuals,

 I believe you said, I'm going to phrase it, a higher
 socioeconomic status with more courtesy.
- 13 A. Um-hmm.
- Q. Would these people be represented by defence counsel?
- A. Some of them would be and some of them would not be. I'm
 thinking of times where I saw him dealing with people that
 were not represented by counsel on a level that I thought was
 quite human and compassionate.
- Q. Did you ever take your complaints to the Attorney General's
 Department, your concerns with Mr. MacNeil?
- A. No. I don't remember. I don't think so. No, I remember
 discussing it a couple of times with the Union of Nova Scotia
 Indians board of directors and I might have even brought it
 up at one of our advisory board meetings that we do have a
 problem with a few people and these are the people. I know

13055 MS. GOULD, EXAM. BY MR. BARRETT

we did that. We had those discussions, but whatever material I, it didn't help very much. Sometimes it would help just for a little while. Like it seemed like he was trying but just couldn't do it.

MR. BARRETT

Those would be all my questions, My Lords.

7 | 12:28 p.m.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

MR. BARRETT

Those would be all my questions.

MR. MURRAY

We would have no questions on behalf of John MacIntyre.

EXAMINATION BY MR. PINK

- Q. Mrs. Gould, just a couple of things. My name is Darrel Pink and I represent the Attorney General's Department. As I understand from your testimony, you were working with the department with Mr. Crane and with the advisory committee to try and get the program improved, is that correct?
- 19 A. That's right.
- Q. And Mr. Crane was very sympathetic and helpful in your endeavours?
- A. Yes, he was. He...he helped us in many ways. Like he helped us in trying to get more funding and trying to get better trained. He assisted us in trying to get the various departments that were under him to recognize us workers

as more, ah, I, in fact, got the opportunity to, ah, I suggested it one time and it came about to shadow some of the people that worked under him, like the parole and probation services and to help them provide...in providing services to non-native communities and the native community to learn. He was a really helpful person.

Like there was almost nothing that I would...there was no time that I called on Jim Crane and...I'm not ashamed to say I don't know and I'll go and find out, or I was never afraid to go look for help, and poor Jim, I called on him more often than I can count, and he was...he would always come through for us.

- Q. And the purpose of the advisory board was to take the problems you were having with the courts, with the prosecutors, with other people in the system, talk about them and try and figure out how to better deal with it and improve the program, is that correct?
- A. That's correct, but not only with those, because there was not always a problem with those people that you just mentioned, o.k. or those people in those positions you just mentioned. But also to try and provide a better service to the native communities, to try and better educate them. We were...just before the program started to phase out, we were talking...we were doing a lot of prevention work, as in the light of trying to...my mind keeps going blank on me. I only

MS. GOULD, EXAM. BY MR. PINK

slept for two hours last night.

- Q. Just take your time.
- A. We were trying to provide a prevention service by educating them as to, you know, the consequences of some of their actions, what it may be.
- Q. Sure.
- A. And, trying to prepare them by letting them meet some of the people within the systems, that they were not all bad guys against good guys or, you know, it was just all...we should be all working together. And I used to work really hard to try and get people to accept probation officers, parole officers, even wardens, as not all bad guys. And that helped them in being more comfortable when they came on our community and when we had to go to the, I mean, when we had to go with a native person to them, it made the native person a little more comfortable.
- Q. Was there some resistance within your own community to the program?
- A. No, not to the program. Some people within our community preferred to deal with one person as opposed to the other within a program, but not to the program itself. In fact, I've been out of it for almost sixteen years and I still get people coming with, ah, especially some of the older ones, with, hey, "Why aren't you still doing this?" or, "Hey, I know you're not doing this but can you help with this area or that area?" I

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. GOULD, EXAM. BY MR. PINK

think they miss it.

MR. PINK

That's all, thank-you.

MR. PRINGLE

We have no questions, My Lord.

MR. CHAIRMAN

Mr. Ross.

EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSS

- Q. Mrs. Gould, my name is Anthony Ross and I would ask you just one or two questions about your experience in court as it related to the treatment of black people in your presence.
- 12 A. Right.
- Q. I take it that you...when you were in court you saw black people being processed from time to time?
- 15 A. Yes.
 - Q. And the general comments which you made with respect to an attitude towards Indians. Does that same thing apply to black people in...as you recall?
 - A. To a certain degree I would say, yeah, but to a lesser degree because the...it seemed to me that the black person felt more confident sometimes in speaking up for themselves as opposed to the native person who would, ah, and this is what used to bother me. I'd see the native person quite often just withdraw instead of stand up and sort of like to defend himself or re-explain themselves or something like

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

MS. GOULD, EXAM. BY MR. ROSS

that, and I have seen a black person clarify a point for themselves in court.

MR. ROSS

Very good, thank-you. That's the extent of my questions.

MR. CHAIRMAN

Mr. Wildsmith.

EXAMINATION BY MR. WILDSMITH

- Q. Bruce Wildsmith, for the record, representing the Union of Nova Scotia Indians. One area that was not touched on is the question of juries. Did you have occasion to observe native people being tried in front of juries?
- 12 A. Yes, I have.
 - Q. Do you have any observations about how juries appear to respond to a native accused?
 - A. It's hard. I always found it hard, when I was in court that was in front of a jury I just would find it hard to pick up any vibrations because like there was never...I never saw a jury with a native person on it.
 - Q. Yes.
- A. I don't know if there was one on the Donald Marshall case
 because I was just...I wasn't...I didn't go to court at that time
 and I never...I didn't...I've never heard or found out. But I
 never saw a jury with a native person on it, and when...the
 juries that I can think, now, I got to put myself and picture
 something here. I see this jury and they're all sitting there