RG 44 GOD 25

ROYAL COMMISSION ON THE DONALD MARSHALL, JR., PROSECUTION

Volume 54

Held:

March 9, 1988, in the Imperial Room, Lord Nelson Hotel, Halifax, Nova Scotia

Before:

Chief Justice T.A. Hickman, Chairman Assoc. Chief Justice L.A. Poitras and Hon. G. T. Evans, Commissioners

Counsel:

Messrs. George MacDonald, Q.C., Wylie Spicer, and David Orsborn: Commission counsel

Mr. Clayton Ruby, Ms. Marlys Edwardh, and Ms. A. Derrick: Counsel for Donald Marshall, Jr.

Mr. Michael G. Whalley, Q.C.: Counsel for City of Sydney

Mr. Ronald N. Pugsley, Q.C.: Counsel for Mr. John F. MacIntyre

Mr. Donald C. Murray: Counsel for Mr. William Urquhart

Messrs. Frank L. Elman, Q.C., and David G. Barrett: Counsel for Donald MacNeil estate

Messrs. Jamie W.S. Saunders and Darrel I. Pink: Counsel for the Attorney General of Nova Scotia

Mr. James D. Bissell & Mr. A. Pringle: Counsel for the R.C.M.P. and Counsel for the Correctional Services of Canada

Mr. William L. Ryan, Q.C.: Counsel for Officers Evers, Green and MacAlpine

Mr. Charles Broderick: Counsel for Sgt. J. Carroll

Messrs. S. Bruce Outhouse, Q.C. and Thomas M. Macdonald: Counsel for Staff Sgt. Wheaton and Insp. Scott

Mr. Guy LaFosse: Counsel for Sgt. H. Davies

Messrs. Bruce H. Wildsmith and Graydon Nicholas: Counsel for the Union of Nova Scotia Indians

Mr. E. Anthony Ross: Counsel for Oscar N. Seale

Mr. E. Anthony Ross and Jeremy Gay: Counsel for the Black United Front

Court Reporting: Margaret E. Graham, OCR, RPR

PRESS

INDEX - VOLUME 54

Witness

Mr. William Urquhart	
Examination by Ms. Derrick	9818
10:10	9841
10:26	9854
Examination by Mr. Barrett	9857
Examination by Mr. Pink	9859
Examination by Mr. Pringle	9866
Examination by Mr. Ross	9870
Examination by Mr. Nicholas 11:20	9876
Examination by Mr. Murray	9885
11:47	9891
Re-Examination by Mr. Orsborn	9896
Mr. Douglas Christen	
Examination by Mr. Spicer	9891
12:15	9908
Examination by Mr. Ruby	9937
Examination by Mr. Pugsley 3:14	9962
Examination by Mr. Saunders	9971
Examination by Mr. Ross	9976
Examination by Mr. Wildsmith	9980
Examination by Mr. Pringle	9999
Examination by Mr. Spicer	10002

EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit	Description	Page	Volume
92	Four pages of Mr. Smith's handwritten	6833	37
93	Mr. Smith's record of polygraph examinations	6833	37
94	Copy of Donald L. Burgess' job description dated 1983	7134	38
95	A-5 with related notes and RCMP correspondence	7150	38
96	Copies of pages of Sgt. Cole's notebook	7225	39
97	Copy of Melinda MacLean's file	7243	39
98	Volume 29	7484	4 1
99	Volume 34	7484	4 1
90 A	Photocopy of handwritten notes by Staff Sgt. Wheaton (typed version in Exhibit 90)	7490	41
90B	Original of Staff Sgt. Wheaton's notes (original in Exhibit 90)	7491	4 1
100	Statement of G.A. Ebsary dated April 19, 1982	7492	4 1
101	Statement of Donald Marshall, Jr. to Cpl. Carroll dated Feb. 18, 1982, taken at Dorchester Penitentiary	7402	
102	Affidavit dated September 1982 of Staff Sgt. Wheaton	7493 7495	41

Exhibit	Description	Page	Volume
103	Statement of Roy Newman Ebsary February 23	7574	4 1
104	Cpl. Carroll's handwritten notes	7581	4 1
105	six excerpts from Cape Breton Post dated May 29, 31, and June 1, 2, 3, and 5, 1971	7656	42
106	Volume 37. Transcript of June, 1984 examination for discovery of Heather Matheson, John F. MacIntyre v. Canadi Broadcasting Corporation.	7656 <u>an</u>	42
100A	Original of Greg and Mary Ebsary's state-		
	ment	8014	44
88A	Copy of Staff Sgt. Wheaton's written notes	8163	44
107	Calender for years 1800 to 2500	8229	45
108	Photocopy of Staff Sgt. Wheaton's notes	8230	45
109	Diagram drawn by Staff Sgt. Wheaton of John MacIntyre's office with seating plan of meeting of April 26,		
	1982	8231	45
110	Three pages from discovery evidence of Heather Matheson	8277	45
111	RCMP guide for contact with media	8280	4 5
112	Correctional Services records of Donald Marshall, Jr. (Volume 35)	8516	4 6
113	Stephen Aronson's correspondence re fees (Volume 27)	8530	4 6

			C
105A	Article from Cape Breton Post concerning Donald Marshall's remand	8587	47
114	Original of Donald Marshall, Jr.'s statement taken at Dorchester Penitentiary, March 9, 1982		50
115	Supt. Scott's notes, 1982	9251	50
116	Cape Breton Post issue, June 19, 1986 one page		50
117	R.C.M.P. Operational Manual, one page	9303	5 1
118	Letter January 8, 1980 from Inspector Scott to Chief A. Christmas	9453	51
119	Mr. Urquhart's resumé	9476	52
120	Criminal record and fingerprint records of Robert Patterson	9551	52
121	Mr. Roy Ebsary's fingerprint record from Sydney Police Department, April 9 1970), 9614	52
122	Copies of portions of Criminal Code of Canada	9651	53
123	Volume 30 of Marshall Inquiry docs.	9651	53
124	Volume 31 of Marshall Inquiry docs.	9651	53
125	Volume 32 of Marhsall Inquiry docs.	9651	53
126	Copy of letter dated May 10, 1983 from the Chief Justice of Nova Scotia to Minister of Justice	9651	53
127	Notes of Mr. Ron Fainstein	9693	5.3

128	Report of Federal-Provincial Task Force	9762	53
129	Brian Williston's telephone notes	9864	54
130	Collection of newspaper articles	9893	5 4
131	Volume 38 of Marshall Inquiry docs.	9899	5 4

MARGARET E. GRAHAM DISCOVERY SERVICE, COURT REPORTERS DARTMOUTH, NOVA SCOTIA

joboy. You were, in fact, Mr. MacIntyre's peer.

And on an equal footing, would that be fair to describe?

He was in charge of the investigation and I was helping.

I worked very closely with him, yes.

22

23

24

25

Α.

Q.

A.

5

6

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Anything that he asked me to do, I did it to the best of my ability.
 - Q. But he would have relied your ability and your experience.

 He didn't treat you like a junior. He would have relied on you more as a peer or an equal, would that be fair?
 - A. I suppose it would be fair, yes.
- Q. And at that time, you were an experienced police officer.
- 8 A. Yes.
- Q. So you had discussions with Sgt. MacIntyre about where the investigations should go, is that correct, and who should be interviewed?
 - A. He was in charge of the investigation, as I said at the outset. I took my direction from him. If he come up with anything to be done, statements to be taken, or anybody to be taken in or try to be found, I helped to the best of my ability to do that.
 - Q. But there must have been a process of conferring with him and he with you. You must have exchanged ideas. In fact, I think you said that in your direct examination that you discussed ideas and opinions.
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. So there was a give and take, a flow of communication between...
- A. Yeah, I would say there was, yes.
- Q. It wasn't just that he had ideas and said to you, "Go do this,"
 without there being any discussion about why you were going

- to go and do that or what it was that he wanted out of that.
- A. No, there would be a reason for everything that's done.
- Q. And you would understand the direction that the investigation was going in according to Mr. MacIntyre.
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. Is that fair? Did you discuss with him possible scenarios of what happened that night?
- A. When I was briefed, to the best of my knowledge, I had a picture of what happened that night, yes.
- 10 Q. Do you recollect what that picture was?
- A. That there was a stabbing in the park. Later the boy died,
 Mr. Seale. And there was many people to interview and
 witnesses to see.
- Q. In that early briefing, was there any discussion that there may have been some argument going on between the, between Seale and Mr. Marshall?
- 17 A. I can't recall if there was.
- 18 Q. There may have been?
- A. There may have been, but I can't recall at this time whether there was or there wasn't.
- Q. Mr. MacIntyre briefed you throughout the investigation, is that correct?
- A. Yes, we talked over the case, yes.
- Q. So this process of conferring and discussing the case went on throughout.

- 1 | A. I would say it did, yes.
- Q. And anything that you did, you kept Mr. MacIntyre informed.
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. So he informed you what was going on, you informed him.
- 5 A. Correct.
- Now you said in your direct examination, and I'm not going to Q. 6 quote it. It's found in Volume 52 at page 9512. But you said 7 that you had no idea about particular leads or theories that 8 were being pursued in the course of the investigation. And you also said that you had no recollection of the investigation 10 involving looking for two men, one gray-haired man. 11 I'm going to suggest to you is that given your role in the 12 investigation, it's most likely that had there been an 13 14 investigation looking for a gray-haired man, had there been particular theories being pursued, you would have known 15 about that? 16
- 17 A. I can't recall at this time.
- 18 Q. I know you can't recall...
- 19 A. It's a long time ago and I...
- Q. I know that it is and I know that you can't recall it but what
 I'm asking you to agree with is that given the role you've
 described that you occupied in the investigation, had there
 been a search for a gray-haired man and had there been
 certain theories being pursued, given your central role, you
 would have known about that?

9822 MR. UROUHART, EXAM, BY MS. DERRICK

- 1 | A. I would say yes.
- Q. Now this was one of your first murder investigations. Am I correct in saying that?
- A. No, I was on, it was an investigation that... but I was on two others before that, prior to that.
- 6 Q. It must have been a very important case, though, at the time.
- A. Any murder case is very important.
- Q. That's what I was wondering. I would assume that although you had other work ongoing at the time, that this must have succeeded in importance any other work that you were presently seized with.
- A. Any case that police are involved in, in my opinion, is very, very important. And murder, rape, arson, any of them are very important.
 - Q. Murder cases were rare in Sydney, though, is that not correct?
- 17 A. Yes.

15

- Q. When you were first briefed by Mr. MacIntyre, do you have any recollection whether he told you about the description of the two men that is found in M. R. MacDonald's notes, one being a gray-haired man?
- A. I can't recall now if that discussion took place or not.
- Q. Do you recollect whether he told you that Mr. Marshall had been around the police station all week-end?
- 25 A. No, I don't know that.

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

17

20

21

- Q. And I believe by the time you came to work on the Monday morning, there had been about four statements taken by that time. Mr. Marshall had given a statement. These are found in Volume 16. Mr. Chant and Mr. Pratico had given their first statements and a statement had been taken from Alanna Dixon. Do you recollect whether you discussed those statements with Mr. MacIntyre, whether you saw those statements?
- 9 A. I don't recollect if I did or not.
 - Q. This would be the kind of thing you would have wanted to know about or you would have wanted to be part of the briefing though, is that correct?
- A. Yes, you'd want to know as much about the case as you could.
- Q. So you would want to know who was interviewed and what they may have said?
 - A. I would say, yes.
 - Q. Now I believe you said that you had, you came out of that briefing, or perhaps I'm making that assumption, that you had on Monday a list of witnesses to contact and to take statements from.
 - A. The list was introduced as evidence yesterday.
 - Q. I'm sorry?
- A. The list of witnesses in my handwriting was produced
 yesterday as evidence and I don't know what day that was
 taken down, whether it was Monday, Tuesday, or what day it

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

20

21

22

23

- was. There's no date on it to say which day that list was given to me or I had copied that list down to take those statements.
- Q. Is it fair to say that given that Mr. MacIntyre was going to be relying fairly heavily on you to be his right-hand support in doing this investigation that he would have probably given you direction and requested you to do things very early on, as soon as you kind of came on the scene.
 - A. I didn't get involved, I don't believe, in the taking of statements until Wednesday, and that was the first time my name appears, I believe, on any of the statements.
 - Q. I think, yes, you took a statement on June 2nd from Frankie French. I think that's the first statement you took. Yeah, that's June 2nd.
- 15 A. What day would that be?
- 16 Q. That would be Wednesday.
- 17 A. Wednesday.
 - Q. When you did start to try and locate people and obtain statements from them, I take it that given your central role in the investigation, you must have known what you wanted out of those witnesses? Would that be fair to say that you must have had some discussion with Mr. MacIntyre what it was you were looking for from these people?
- A. Yes, to gather all the evidence that you could to conclude the case, if possible.

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

MR. UROUHART, EXAM. BY MS. DERRICK

- Q. Do you have any recollection at all what were the central concerns that you were pursuing in the getting of this information from people?
 - A. Your concern would be to get all the evidence that you could.
 - Q. Do you have any recollection of what that evidence would have been, what theory may have been being pursued?
 - A. Who was in the park? What role did they play? Who did they see? And all those questions would have to be answered if possible by the witnesses that you were interviewing.
 - Q. Do you remember if you were trying to find out any more about a gray-haired man?
 - A. Certainly anything that would come up. Any question that would come up and anybody that would tell you there were certain people in the park, you would ask them to describe them to the best of their knowledge and you would take it down in writing.
 - Q. So given that it's likely that when you came in on Monday you knew that Mr. Marshall had given a statement in which he describes a gray-haired man, is it then to fair...

MR. CHAIRMAN

Ms. Derrick, this witness has told us about five times already this morning. He didn't, he wasn't told about any statements, so how can he remember it?

MS. DERRICK

I think he said that he doesn't recollect, but if that's what he

9826 MR. URQUHART, EXAM. BY MS. DERRICK would...

MR. CHAIRMAN

I change recollect, he doesn't recollect but he may remember,
is that what you're saying?

5 MS. DERRICK

All I'm saying and I think the evidence we have from this
witness is that he doesn't have an actual recollection.

8 MR. CHAIRMAN

That's right.

MS. DERRICK

9

10

11

12

13

15

17

21

22

23

24

25

But that is very likely what he would have known about. He would have known about those statements and he would have known about the gray-haired man.

14 MR. CHAIRMAN

That's right. That's as far as he can go.

16 MS. DERRICK

That's right.

18 MR. CHAIRMAN

So what's the point of pursuing it again?

20 MS. DERRICK

I appreciate that, My Lord. I'm interested in getting from this witness the kinds of things that in all likelihood he would have been pursuing.

MR. CHAIRMAN

I don't mind you trying to get any new information from any

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

15

18

20

21

22

23

24

MR. UROUHART, EXAM. BY MS. DERRICK

witness, but repetition has got to come to an end.

MS. DERRICK

I appreciate that, My Lord, and I know that was your message yesterday. So I will try and keep that to a minimum.

BY MS. DERRICK

- Q. You don't recollect there being any particular theory being pursued, I think you say about the case, is that correct now?
- A. What do you mean by "theory"?
- Q. An idea of what happened in the park and who may have committed this murder. Was it a gray-haired man? Was it Junior Marshall? Those would be different theories that could have been being put forward. And I think your evidence has been that you don't recollect now what the theory may have been.
- A. No.
 - Q. Was it like Mr. MacIntyre not to have a theory about a case? When you worked with him, was it your experience that he did develop a theory or try to develop a theory fairly early on?
 - A. I can't speak for Mr. MacIntyre's thoughts at that time. All I can go by is what, the work that he give me to do and, as I said before, I did it to the best of my ability and I got the witnesses, took statements, tried to get as much information from each of the witnesses that I could.
- Q. In your experience with working with Mr. MacIntyre,

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

20

21

22

23

- worked on with him, was it your experience that he would try and develop a theory about the case fairly early on, that he might discuss it with someone like you and see if he could come up with some scenarios or some ideas to pursue about who may have been responsible for the particular offence that you were investigating?
- A. If you're suggesting that he picked out any particular name and said to me, "This is the person that we'll have to pursue," no.
- 11 Q. That wasn't...
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. His style.
- 14 A. No.
- Q. Is that your answer? Do you recollect whether you made any suggestions to Mr. MacIntyre early on in that early briefing such as, "Well, maybe we should do a canvass of the neighbourhood."
- 19 A. It's quite possible but I...
 - Q. Now I believe you have said that Mr. MacIntyre was suspicious about Mr. Marshall's wound on his arm, that he had concerns about that. That is one of your recollections, is that correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. And I think you've said as far as, to your knowledge, there

MR. URQUHART, EXAM. BY MS. DERRICK

- was no hard and fast suspect by June the 3rd, which was the Thursday of that week.
 - A. No.

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

20

21

22

- Q. Mr. MacIntyre, when he testified, said that when he got hold of Mr. Marshall's jacket on June the 2nd, that at that point in his mind Mr. Marshall became a suspect. Does that help your recollection as to whether you learned any earlier that Mr. Marshall may have been a suspect?
 - A. No.
 - Q. I mean there is evidence as well to suggest that Mr. Marshall was a suspect much earlier than that in Mr. MacIntyre's mind, but I'm referring to Mr. MacIntyre's evidence itself. The jacket did have cuts on it. I don't remember your evidence. You don't recollect whether you ever saw the jacket, is that...
 - A. No, I don't recollect if I ever saw the jacket.
 - Q. Now your notes which are found in Volume 16 at page 137 show that you...There are notes here with respect to Dr. Virick and also Nurse Davis, and both of them refer to, in Virick's case, "Did not cut jacket sleeve," and in the nurse's case, "She did not cut the jacket sleeve." So obviously you and... That's what they say. You can take my word for it. You and Mr. MacIntyre obviously were interested in the cuts on Mr. Marshall's jacket.
- A. Yes, you'd be interested in any evidence that you could gather to help your case.

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Do you have any explanation today why you didn't ask Mr.

 Marshall how those cuts got there?
 - A. No recollection, no.
 - Q. I think the evidence was you don't remember having done much on that Monday with respect to this case. We see in the evidence that Mr. MacIntyre appears to have taken a statement from a George and Sandy MacNeil. That was taken on the Monday, May 31st. It's found in Volume 16 at page 26. In it, the Mr. MacNeils describe "two men, one with gray hair." And that's a very similar description to a description in Mr. Marshall's statement which had been taken earlier. Do you have any explanation as to why more effort wasn't made to look for a gray-haired man?
- 14 A. No, I have no idea.
 - Q. I think you testified that you remember discussions with Mr. MacIntyre about Mr. Marshall's wound being self-inflicted, the wound on his arm. Do you have any recollection of those discussions?
 - A. As I stated yesterday, if you're protecting yourself, I would think that the wound would be on the outer arm instead of on the inner arm.
 - Q. So part of the concern then was the location of the wound.
- A. Right.
- Q. I just want to go back to the issue of the gray-haired man for a moment. We have an Exhibit 121, which is this

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

- fingerprinting sheet. I think you've seen it before, Mr. 1 Urquhart, showing that in April, 1970 you took Mr. Ebsary's 2 fingerprints and that the sheet seems to indicate that Mr. Ebsary had been remanded on a weapons charge involving a knife. Now if I understand how the Sydney Police 5 Department worked at that time, am I correct in saying that 6 you would have taken this case over from one of the 7 constables, you as an investigating detective would have been responsible for further investigation of this charge against Mr. Ebsary? 10
 - A. I wouldn't say that I was. I would say that whoever... I just did the fingerprinting.
 - Q. So the fact that you did the fingerprinting doesn't necessarily indicate that you were in charge of the investigation relating to Mr. Ebsary?
 - A. No, it doesn't.
- Q. Investigations were the territory of the detectives though, is that correct, not foot patrolmen?
 - A. Whoever was assigned to the case, yes, in the Detective

 Department. But I'd have to see documentation on that case
 to answer that question thoroughly.
- Q. The question being whether you were the one investigating this.
- A. I'm quite sure that I wasn't.
- 25 Q. I understand your evidence.

9832 MR. UROUHART, EXAM, BY MS. DERRICK

MR. MURRAY

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

22

24

With respect, My Lord, in fairness to the witness, I don't think it's fair to suggest that there was anything turned over to any investigator in this case. I believe Mr. Ebsary was apprehended on April 8th, had his fingerprints taken, pleaded guilty on April the 9th, and there was no further investigation.

MS. DERRICK

That may well be.

MR. CHAIRMAN

If you're done with that point, can we move on to something else?

MS. DERRICK

I'm doing my best, My Lord.

BY MS. DERRICK

- Q. Now I expect that in the course of this Inquiry, you've heard Mr. Ebsary described as "a colourful character", is that fair?
- A. When I saw him on the stand before the Inquiry, I thought that, yes.
- 9 Q. Would you agree that he's a memorable character?
- 20 A. To remember him?

MR. CHAIRMAN

We're not going to forget him.

23 MS. DERRICK

I'm just wondering if Mr. Urquhart would forget him.

25 BY MS. DERRICK

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And I guess where I'm getting to here, Mr. Urquhart, is Mr. 1 Ebsary is, I think all would agree a colourful character. You 2 were involved in taking his fingerprints and we do have your 3 evidence that you took fingerprints of a lot of people. But a year after you have a description for a short gray-haired man 5 in the course of a murder investigation involving a knife, Mr. 6 Ebsary never came to your mind, even though you would 7 have had contact with a short gray-haired man who was 8 remanded on a weapons charge just a year before? 9 No, I wouldn't have no knowledge of Mr. Ebsary because, at 10
 - A. No, I wouldn't have no knowledge of Mr. Ebsary because, at that time, I did a lot of fingerprinting for the department and I just simply fingerprint them, take them out, turn them over to the stenographer and she'd fill in the colour of the eyes, weight, height, residence, and on the bottom of the sheet what was the disposition of the case.
 - Q. And you don't think that it's unusual that you wouldn't recollect this person a year later when you're dealing with a description very similar in all its material elements?
 - A. I cannot answer that at this time, no.
 - Q. Now there was also a Robert Patterson that was discussed and we know about Robert Patterson, in part, because of Mr. Pratico's first statement which is found in Volume 16 at page 22. This is Mr. Pratico's May 30th statement when he describes two fellows running from the direction of the screaming, I'm paraphrasing it, and jumping into a white

MR. URQUHART, EXAM. BY MS. DERRICK

Volkswagen. And then he goes on to say: "I saw the fellows twice last night walking near the park." He goes on to say, "I seen them walking around. Bobbie, Robert Patterson said they are from Toronto Satan's Choice, "sorry, "Saint's Choice bike gang." Do you see that at the end of Mr. Pratico's statement there?

- A. Yes.
- Q. What I want to ask you is before June 4th when you get the two eyewitnesses, Chant and Pratico, who identify Mr.

 Marshall, before that, Mr. Patterson was the only key person in this investigation to the identify of the killer or killers in this instance, is that correct? We have a statement where he says that he's seen them and that, Pratico says he seen them and Patterson can identify them as being members of a bike gang.
 - A. That's what he told to Patterson...or to Pratico.
 - Q. That's right, yes. And in the alternative, you also say that anybody who was in the park should be regarded, was regarded as a suspect. So either way you look at it, Mr. Patterson is a pretty important person to find, is that fair to say?
- A. Yes, I would say, and we did the best we could to find him and we didn't find him.
 - Q. Well, that's what I'm wondering. You say you did your best to find him. You knew his mother and you knew where he

10

11

12

13

14

16

18

19

21

22

- lived. Did you ask her where he was, if you want to his house and he wasn't there?
- A. I would imagine I went to the house but I can't tell you at this date, this day whether I went to the residence of

 Pattersons or not. I would say yes that I went there, or somebody went, and that we looked around. He might have been away. He might have left town for a few days. I have no idea.
 - Q. And you don't recollect what efforts you made to try and find him by asking what people you asked, where you went to look for him, what members of the police department you may have...
 - A. No, because I have no notes to say that I did or I didn't.
 - Q. Now judging from Mr. Patterson's record, which we've already seen as well, it appears he had had a number of contacts with the Sydney Police. It's very likely he was picked up by the Sydney Police before this particular matter, so that the Sydney Police would have had to look for him on other occasions.
 - A. I'd have to see documentations before I could say anybody was picked up. It wouldn't be fair to him or to anybody else to say that I had picked him up without documentation to say that.
- Q. No, I don't mean you but I mean members of the Sydney
 Police Department.

9836 MR. URQUHART, EXAM. BY MS. DERRICK

A. That, again, I would have to have documentation for because it wouldn't be fair to say that somebody picked up Bobbie

Patterson, or whoever it might be, without knowing for sure.

COMMISSIONER POITRAS

Do we not have that...

MS. DERRICK

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

We do.

COMMISSIONER POITRAS

Information here? Why don't we refer the witness to it, then?

1 MS. DERRICK

- 12 Q. I think it's Exhibit 120.
- 13 A. What page?
- Q. It's an exhibit. It's just a few sheets of paper. It's just perhaps the front page there, Mr. Urquhart.
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Where you see "Criminal convictions and related information.

 18 1970, Break and enter and theft; 1971, Theft over; 1971, B&E

 19 and Theft..." So you can see from that that there were a

 10 number of occasions when Mr. Patterson was charged...
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. With offences.
- 23 A. Correct.
- Q. So looking at that, wouldn't you agree that Mr. Patterson must have been picked up in Sydney by the police on other

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

20

22

23

MR. UROUHART, EXAM. BY MS. DERRICK

occasions?

- A. Yes, I would say he was.
- Q. And would it be fair to say, and I think the record shows this, too, that in September of 1971, Mr. Patterson was in jail for an offence but by September, 1971, although you would have known where to find Mr. Patterson because he was in jail, he just wasn't of much interest any more because the case had been solved by that point?
- A. I wouldn't say that he was of no interest. I think that's unfair. I would say that he would be of interest but why we didn't get him, I have no answer for.
- Q. There's no evidence that you can give us that Mr. Patterson was looked for...
- 14 A. No.
 - Q. Any further. Now June 4th was the really significant day in this investigation, isn't that correct, Mr. Urquhart? That's when you got the two eyewitnesses.
- 18 A. Yes.
 - Q. Mr. Pratico in his June 4th statement says, and Mr. MacIntyre took this statement, but he says in his statement, "I stopped where I showed you." This is on page 41 of Volume 16 in about the middle of the page, "I stopped where I showed you."
- A. Yeah.
- 25 Q. It's likely, isn't it, that you would have been interested in

- hearing that. Do you recollect whether you asked Mr.

 MacIntyre about that? Whether you inquired as to whether they had been in the park together?
 - A. [No audible response.]
 - Q. Now you must have known that this new statement by Mr. Pratico, his second statement, was now in conflict with Mr. Chant's earlier statement, because in Mr. Chant's earlier statement, he describes a different set of events than Mr. Pratico is now describing on June 4th.
- 10 A. Correct.
 - Q. I can refer you to both statements if you want, but is that...
 - A. The other statement is?
 - Q. The other statement is Mr. Chant's May 30th statement, which is found on page 18 of Volume 16, and he describes a different set of events than Mr. Pratico described as an alleged eyewitness on the 4th of June. So my question is, being a central figure in this investigation, you would have known on June 4th that you had conflicting statements between Mr. Pratico and Mr. Chant.
 - A. Yes, I would imagine that that was the reason that we went to Louisbourg to take the second statement.
 - Q. Now you say as well that on June 4th when Mr. Pratico gave you this statement that you were satisfied that he was now telling the truth. Would that be because it fitted with the theory that Mr. Marshall had committed the offence?

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

- Would you mind repeating that, please? A.
- You've said in your evidence earlier that on June 4th when O. you got the statement from Mr. Pratico, you were satisfied that that was the truth. And is that because it fitted with your theory that it was Mr. Marshall that committed the offence?
- No, because at that time, I personally didn't believe that Mr. Marshall had committed the offence until we had all the evidence in.
- Q. So at that point, although you say you have no reason to disbelieve Mr. Pratico, there were some reasons to disbelieve Mr. Pratico. The reason to disbelieve Mr. Pratico was that there was Junior Marshall's statement itself. There was a different statement from Mr. Chant that doesn't identify Mr. Marshall. There were statements identifying a gray-haired man. So those would be reasons to disbelieve Mr. Pratico, but you didn't disbelieve him.
- I would say no, when we took the second statement, I didn't disbelieve him.
- Q. I just want to ask you a bit about your experience in taking statements, Mr. Urquhart. Over your years of being a police officer, you've witnessed and taken many statements.
- A. Yes, I have.
- Q. And surely it's fair to say that there's always discussion with the person beforehand, before the actual question and answer 25

- portion of the statement. An explanation of why they're there. Maybe they ask some questions. Perhaps they, the witness, are nervous. Is that not fair to say?
- A. No, any statement that I've ever taken, I've taken them into the office or they've come in. I haven't gone into their family background, if that's what you mean, or into anything else. I tell them that I'm taking the statement concerning a certain matter. I start it out, like all my statements, time, date, their name, date of birth, and I tell them I'm investigating a certain matter and what, if anything, can they tell me about it. And I don't go into any preamble of other than that.
- Q. But there is some introduction, there is some discussion at the beginning of the statement.
- A. That's, the only introduction would be I'd introduce myself and tell them who I was, if they didn't know me personally.
- Q. But what if, I mean have you had the experience that witnesses are nervous and what is this going to mean? Am I going to have to testify in court? What effect is this going to have on my job? Have you had that experience where witnesses have asked those kinds of questions?
- A. Yes, I've had experiences that people would say to me, "Have you got a cigarette?" That's one of the questions. And then, "If I give a statement, will this hurt my chances of getting in the R.C.M.P.?" I've had all those questions asked of me.
- Q. And when you have that kind of exchange with a witness,

9841 MR. UROUHART, EXAM. BY MS. DERRICK

- that doesn't always get written down on the statement, does 1 it? 2
- Asking for a cigarette? 3
- Asking whether it's going to hurt their chances to get to the 4 R.C.M.P. and your response to that. 5
 - I suppose I had put it in statements, yes, but I can't recall today whether I have or I haven't. But I've never gone into any long preamble on taking the statement.
- 10:10 a.m. *

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

- Then would you agree, as well, there may be discussion Q. throughout the statement, that there may be discussion at the end of the statement, as well, and that all of this doesn't necessarily religiously get taken down?
- After the statement is taken and signed by whoever gives the statement if they wish to sign, and signed by the witness, if there's one there, and myself then if they want to discuss it 16 certainly, they're free to do so.
- And that isn't necessarily recorded. Q. 18
- No, because the statement is over then. Your statement is 19 free and voluntary. 20
- Q. Now, what can you tell us about statement-taking techniques, 21 for example I've heard of a technique called the sort of good 22 23 guy/bad guy, the good cop/bad cop technique, and I'm curious about this because it's sort of the sort of thing that 24 25 Scott MacKay, Robert MacKay described in his evidence before

- the Inquiry which is in volume...transcript Volume 4 at page 1 667. And, in that Mr. MacKay says, "I found Detective 2 Urguhart quite helpful and friendly. I found Detective 3 MacIntyre overbearing and bullish." Now, I'm not asking you 4 to comment on the accuracy of that. I understand from your 5 evidence you don't recollect that statement. What is the purpose of such a technique if it's utilized that there's kind of 7 a friendly cop and there's an unfriendly cop? 8
- 9 A. I didn't know there was such a...such a...I didn't know such a
 thing...
- 11 Q. You've never heard of that as a...
- 12 A. I...
- Q. ...police technique for getting statements out of people.
- 14 A. No. And I have never used it.
- Q. Have you heard yourself described as "father confessor"?
- A. That is very difficult to answer, I've been called many things
 I suppose in my career as a policeman.
- 18 Q. But particularly the phrase "father confessor", does that...
- A. I was always, I'd say, a kind person and I didn't like to abuse anybody and I never have abused anybody, and if I was kind to people and they wanted to call me nice names or say nice things about me I...
- 23 Q. You didn't object to that.
- A. No, I did not object to that.
- Q. I'm referring actually to Volume 11 in the transcripts at page

2

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

- 1992. This is evidence of John Butterworth, a police officer, and it's in fact in response to questions by your counsel. Mr. Murray says, "Would I be correct, sir, that Mr. Urquhart had a reputation around the station that developed into a nickname as the "father confessor"?" Mr. Butterworth says, "Yes, I believe there was something." And Mr. Murray says, "And the reason for that nickname was because people wanted to talk to him, they seemed to open up to him, is that correct?" Does that refresh your memory? Did you...do you recall people calling you "father confessor" or referring to you that way?
- A. They might have called me that when I wasn't around but...
- 13 Q. You don't remember.
- A. Nobody has every come up and said, "How are you this morning, father," or anything like that.
 - Q. Uh-hum. Now, that means to me if people regarded you that way, if you were regarded that way as...and describing you as someone people wanted to open up to, people wanted to confess to or talk to you, that you got what you wanted when you took statements, would that be accurate?
- 21 A. I was successful taking statements, yes, I would say.
- Q. And that would be a valuable asset, would it not, to be able to get people to open up if you're trying to take a statement?
 - A. You didn't force anybody to open up. You asked them...
- Q. No, but if you have that ability, that facility with people, that

MR. UROUHART, EXAM. BY MS. DERRICK

would be very helpful as a police officer.

- A. Yes, I would say it would be.
- Q. I want to ask you about Mr. Chant's Louisbourg statement that was June the 4th. Why were there so many people present at his statement? You've already said in your direct evidence that you feel that if there are more than two people present in the taking of a statement that there's a possible risk of intimidation of that witness. So, why was that permitted to happen in Louisbourg?
 - A. He was well known, the people that were involved were well known. There was his mother. There was the Chief of Police who he knew very well, and Lawrence Burke or Larry Burke, his probation officer.
 - Q. Even if we assume that he would have been comfortable with his mother there, which I don't doubt, did it cause you no concern that there would be another police chief there in addition to you and Mr. MacIntyre, and his probation officer, who was obviously his probation officer with respect to another offence that he had committed?
 - A. No, it never crossed my mind that it would be an intimidation to him, no.
- Q. Do you have any thoughts about that now looking back on it that that was...
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. ...undesirable to have all those people there?

9845 MR. URQUHART, EXAM. BY MS. DERRICK

- A. I wouldn't say it was undesirable, no, but...I really... I don't think it would hurt the boy in one way or the other.
- Q. I believe you agree with Mr. Magee that there was no pressure put on Mr. Chant and that there was no...there was no suggestions, sorry, there was no pressure put on Mr. Chant and there was no duress or no influence applied to him, is that correct?
- 8 A. That's correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

13

21

22

- Q. What you don't agree with, am I right, is that you don't agree with Mr. Magee saying that there was certain suggestions put to Mr. Chant?
- A. No, I can't remember of any suggestions put to Mr. Chant to give that statement.
- Q. And is it your evidence that Mr. Magee must be mistaken in saying that there were suggestions put to Mr. Chant?
- 16 A. He could very well be, yes.
- Q. Can you give any explanation as to why Mr. Magee's recollection would only be partially correct?
- A. I can't answer that. I have no idea what Mr. Magee was thinking.
 - Q. If there were suggestions made, if Mr. Magee was correct, if we accept that for the moment, then any of these suggestions or any of this assistance is certainly not reflected in Mr. Chant's June 4th statement, is it?
- 25 A. No.

2

3

6

7

A

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. URQUHART, EXAM. BY MS. DERRICK

MR. CHAIRMAN

... a response, it's a...

MS. DERRICK

Well, he can certainly refer to the statement on page 46.

MR. URQUHART

- A. Would you mind repeating that question?
- Q. Certainly. I think the point is probably made, but I'm simply saying that if Mr. Magee was correct that suggestions were made to help Mr. Chant with his statement that any of these suggestions are not reflected in the statement that was recorded, which is the statement shown on page 46 of Volume 16. Certainly we don't see any statements in there saying, "We have another witness that saw you there."
- A. No.
- Q. I think it's your evidence you can't account for how Mr. Chant and Mr. Pratico came up with the same story, is that...
 - A. No, I can't account for it, no.
 - Q. Do you agree that what you're saying is that quite by accident on June 4th you got two remarkably similar stories from what you believe to be disinterested witnesses which we now know are false?

MR. MURRAY

Well, with respect, whether it was by accident or not, he said he doesn't have any idea as to how...

MS. DERRICK

- That's why I'm asking whether that's the effect of his evidence.
- A. No, I have no idea.
- Q. You have no idea of how you got those statements. I'd like to ask you about Patricia Harriss' statement. I believe in your evidence you were asked why her June 17th statement, which is at page 63 of Volume 16, why it wasn't signed and you said you don't recall, that maybe she asked to go to the bathroom and maybe she asked to see her mother. If that was the case, wouldn't it have been a normal procedure to have waited until she got back and then resumed the statement?
 - A. Well, I've been before the Commission, I've been asked this question before, and if I had an answer and I'm not trying to be...
- 16 Q. I...
- A. I would only be too glad to clear that point up, but I just don't know.
 - Q. No, and...I was just referring you to your evidence so that, you know, you would be able to remember it because I realize there's been an intervening day since you testified. But the question I am asking you is that if there had been some interruption such as Patricia Harriss going out to speak to her mother for a minute or going to the bathroom, wouldn't a normal procedure have been to have resumed a statement

MR. UROUHART, EXAM. BY MS. DERRICK

once she returned?

- A. Yes.
- Q. To have continued the statement at that point.
- A. Yes.
 - Q. Now, in your direct evidence you said that your signature on a statement as a witness indicated that the question and answers in the statement were true to the best of your knowledge. The question I want to ask you is that if in the taking of a statement you believed that a witness was lying, would you then sign that statement as a witness?
 - A. It was the story that he was telling and if it was proved or evidence, you know, I can't quite follow your question.
 - Q. Well, it may be that...

COMMISSIONER EVANS

Just a moment. What you're asking, as I understand his evidence was, he is saying that what was said was actually recorded. He doesn't say that what is...that the statement is true that is, in the sense of whatever the witness says is true. I...

MS. DERRICK

I'm certainly happy for this witness to clear up what he meant, but in fact what he said at page 9486 is that, the question is, "If you signed as a witness to a statement, what does that signature indicate?" and the answer is, "It indicates that everything that was asked and the answers given in that statement were true to the best of your knowledge." Now...

9849 MR. URQUHART, EXAM. BY MS. DERRICK **COMMISSIONER EVANS** 1 To the best of whose knowledge? 2 MS. DERRICK 3 At the best of Mr. Urquhart's knowledge. **COMMISSIONER EVANS** 5 How would he know? 6 MS. DERRICK 7 Well, that's my question and that's why... 8 **COMMISSIONER EVANS** 9 Well, I think... 10 MS. DERRICK 11 ...I want to clarify if that's, in fact, what he meant. 12 **COMMISSIONER EVANS** 13 I think the reasonable interpretation is that what was written 14 down was actually said. 15 MS. DERRICK 16 I think that is a reasonable interpretation, that's why I 17 wanted Mr. Urquhart to be able to explain whether that is, in fact, 18 the explanation or... 19 COMMISSIONER EVANS 20 Miss Derrick, how can this witness say that because he was 21 22 present and a statement was given that what the person giving the statement said was true? How does he know that? 23

No, I...My Lord, I quite agree. I don't see how he could say

MS. DERRICK

24

25

9850 MR. URQUHART, EXAM, BY MS. DERRICK

1 | that.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

COMMISSIONER EVANS

Well, isn't the other interpretation the only sensible or reasonable one?

MS. DERRICK

That's what I was putting to the witness so, that if, in fact, that is his explanation he can give that to us, because I think that does leave, although maybe an unreasonable explanation, it does leave an explanation that could be interpreted either way.

- Q. So, in fact, what you mean when you say that by signing as a witness you are, in fact, saying that what is in this statement represents what was discussed between the person taking the statement and the person that gave the statement, is that correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Thank-you. Now, setting aside for a moment these allegations that you crumpled up Patricia Harriss' first statements and threw them on the floor or threw them away, if, in fact, in the taking of a statement that was done, that would indicate, would it not, that that statement was not acceptable?
- A. I have never crumpled up statements and thrown them on the floor.
- Q. You were present at Mr. Marshall's arrest.
- 25 A. Yes.

9851 MR, URQUHART, EXAM, BY MS. DERRICK

- Q. Can you tell us what the seating arrangement was in the car leaving Whycocomagh and going back to Baddeck? Where was everybody seated?
- 4 A. I was in the back seat, I believe.
- 5 Q. With Mr. Marshall.
- A. With Mr. Marshall. And the R.C.M.P. officer and Sergeant
 MacIntyre were in the front seat of the vehicle.
- Q. Would you agree that as a police officer you have an obligation to record an accused person's utterances?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. And is it your evidence that you don't recollect Mr. Marshall saying, "I didn't do it."?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- Q. You...there is evidence that in November of 1971 you drove

 Mr. Pratico to the Nova Scotia Hospital, and I know that your

 evidence is you don't recollect having done that. You did say

 in your evidence that if you had done it you would have done

 it in a private car. Does that mean you would have driven

 your own car?
- A. Yes. As I explained yesterday, there was no...I don't know about ambulance service at that time, but the police were on escort duty, they'd take people from the Nova Scotia or the Cape Breton Hospital to the Nova Scotia Hospital. They would call in the evening or something during the day and one of the off-duty policemen would take their private car and go to

9852 MR. UROUHART, EXAM, BY MS. DERRICK

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- the Nova Scotia Hospital to deposit the prisoner or the...not the prisoner, the person involved and the envelope containing the medical records which would be sealed and you'd turn it over to the people at the Nova Scotia Hospital.
- Q. Now, I believe your evidence was that you had no concerns about Mr. Pratico's reliability as a witness because you said that people were sent to the Nova Scotia Hospital for treatment because there was no detox in Sydney and they were sent there to dry out. Now, in fact, in I think it's Exhibit 47, and I'll read it as best I can, the reason for Mr. Pratico's admission was the readmission, and I'm reading from the exhibit, "The readmission certificate notes he," meaning Mr. Pratico, "...is incoherent, feels people are after him, curses and swears continually." What I'm going to suggest to you that if he...

COMMISSIONER EVANS

Is that report from somebody?

MS. DERRICK

This is the admission form, My Lord.

COMMISSIONER EVANS

Well, does this witness...was he aware of that? I think he said the report came in an envelope.

MS. DERRICK

I don't doubt that he wasn't aware and that he wouldn't have seen this because I think that, in fact, this would not have been a

9853 MR. UROUHART, EXAM, BY MS. DERRICK

report in a sealed envelope from Sydney, but rather the report that would have been put out by the admitting physician in...at the Nova Scotia Hospital.

COMMISSIONER EVANS

All right.

MS. DERRICK

And the note...it appears that they note that they were certifying Mr. Pratico, I assume, committing him as an involuntary patient. So, I'm not suggesting that Mr. Urquhart would have seen this report, I'm merely reading what the doctor wrote down once you handed Mr. Pratico over to the Nova Scotia Hospital.

- Q. I mention it to you...I use, you know, I refer to him having been incoherent and cursing and swearing continually and obviously being paranoid, feeling people were after him, to see whether that refreshes your memory at all because this would have been a five-hour ride with a person in that condition. It must have been an unforgettable experience I would have thought, but you don't...you don't recall having taken him down.
- 20 A. No.
 - Q. I just want to take you now, Mr. Urquhart, to 1982 and this is around the time when this whole matter was beginning to be reinvestigated by the R.C.M.P.. Mr. Frank Edwards, the prosecutor notes in his notes, this is Volume 17 at page 2, and it's at the bottom, it's in the section that says, "Re: Chief

9854 MR. URQUHART, EXAM, BY MS. DERRICK

MacIntyre". Mr. Edwards notes that he had some discussions with Chief MacIntyre around February 19th, 1982, where the Chief questioned the fact that he had heard that some witnesses were being...some of the, I guess, the old witnesses were being questioned, and Mr. MacIntyre was quite upset about the whole matter. I just want to ask you do you recollect around this time whether Mr. MacIntyre discussed his feelings with you about this reinvestigation and, if so, what did he say to you?

10 10:26 a.m. *

1

2

5

7

8

19

20

21

- 11 A. After the...this was on the 21st of February.
- 12 Q. Around that time, that's right.
- 13 A. Yeah. No, I can't...
- Q. Or any time in February, March, April, May.
- A. I was involved in another murder case at that time and I was in contact with Mr. Edwards. I'm not sure of the date of that murder. And, no doubt I was back and forth talking to Mr. Edwards, possibly he was down to the police office too.
 - Q. Do you have any recollection of Mr. MacIntyre, thought, discussing the re-opening of the case with you and expressing being upset as is...
- 22 A. No, I can't recall that.
- Q. There's also a reference, and this is on page 12 of these same notes, recorded by Mr. Edwards, where he has a telephone conversation with Mr. MacIntyre in which Mr. MacIntyre

9855 MR. URQUHART, EXAM. BY MS. DERRICK

- says, "They are not going to put me in jail, are they?" In fact,

 "Have I been talking to them? They're not going to put me in

 jail, are they?" is what the conversation was with Mr.
- Edwards. Did you have any concerns at that time about criminal charges with respect to this matter?
- 6 A. Myself personally.
- 7 Q. Yourself.
- 8 A. No, because I didn't have any concerns about it at that time.
- 9 Q. Were you aware of Mr. MacIntyre's concerns in this regard?
- 10 A. No, if he had concerns he never relayed them to me.
- Q. He never discussed them with you. Can you recall when you last discussed this case with Mr. MacIntyre?
- 13 A. No, I cannot.
- Q. Mr. Urquhart, you made reference very early in your direct
 evidence that you had had a series of tapes that from the
 sounds of things were reminiscences of your experiences as a
 police officer, would that be...
- A. Yes, I had tapes and I had...I had some tapes. I had scraps of paper.
- Q. And did these deal with your experiences as a police officer?
- A. They dealt with some of the cases possibly, some funny things
 that happened, some expressions, names that were on it that
 had no connection with any criminal charges, once you'd
 interview or talk to the people.
- Q. I see. Just people you had come in contact with then.

9856 MR. URQUHART, EXAM. BY MS. DERRICK

- 1 | A. Right.
- Q. Do you recollect over what period of time these tapes spanned, what years of experience?
- 4 A. I suppose...I can't really tell you what dates.
- Q. And were you just trying to preserve some of these reminiscences, these experiences?
- A. No, I had a file in my office and it was a folder and I used to...I'd come up with something and I'd put it in that, nobody else could get to it because I had the only key to the office.
- 10 Q. This was just for your own personal enjoyment...
- 11 A. That's right.
- 12 Q. ...or information.
- A. And when I retired in 1983, June 30th, I gathered it all up and I cleaned out my office and turned it over to my successor, who was Roy Young, I took it home, I went through some of it and I said, "What am I keeping it for?" and I destroyed it. But there was nothing concerning the Donald Marshall, Jr., case on all of those pieces of paper.
- Q. I see. So, no portions of those tapes dealt with the Donald
 Marshall, Jr., case at all.
- 21 A. No, none whatsoever.
- 22 Q. And you're sure of that.
- ²³ A. I'm positive.
- Q. Mr. Urquhart, would it be fair to characterize your evidence or the effect of your evidence as being that, with the

MR. URQUHART, EXAM. BY MS. DERRICK 9857 exception of the June 4th Louisbourg statement involving Mr. 1 Chant, that you really don't recollect very much about this 2 investigation or the details involved in it? 3 MR. MURRAY 4 Well, I suppose, My Lords, that that's a decision for yourselves to make as opposed to Mr. Urquhart to characterize his 6 own evidence. 7 MR. CHAIRMAN 8 Good copy, but it is for us to decide. 9 MS. DERRICK 10 I'm certainly not asking for the purpose of being good copy, 11 I'm just asking whether or not he disagrees or agrees with that 12 statement of his recollection of the investigation, the 1971 13 investigation. 14 MR. UROUHART 15 A. All I can say is that I was on the investigation, I did 16 everything I could to the best of my ability. 17 MS. DERRICK 18 Those are my questions, thank-you. 19 MR. PUGSLEY 20 I have no questions, My Lord, thank-you. 21 MR. BARRETT 22 I have just several questions. 23 **EXAMINATION BY MR. BARRETT** 24

Mr. Urquhart, my name is David Barrett. I represent the

25

Q.

9858 MR. URQUHART, EXAM. BY MR. BARRETT

- estate of Donald C. MacNeil. You've testified you were employed thirty-four years with the Sydney Police Department.
- 4 A. Correct.
- Q. And I assume during this period you had an opportunity to
 observe the late Donald C. MacNeil act as both prosecutor and
 defence counsel.
- 8 A. Yes, sir, I did.
- Q. And during your service with the Sydney Police Department did you observe Mr. MacNeil to prosecute cases involving whites, Indians and blacks?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And did you feel he prosecuted these cases any differently?
- A. No, I would say not. He prosecuted them as the evidence was given to him by the police or whoever was in charge of the investigation.
- Q. Would you describe Mr. MacNeil as being an experienced prosecutor?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Competent.
- 21 A. Very competent, I would say.
- Q. Did you feel he was fair in his dealings with people?
- A. He was fair, yes, as far as I was concerned.
- Q. Did he have a good rapport with police officers in your opinion?

9859 MR. URQUHART, EXAM. BY MR. BARRETT

- 1 | A. Yes.
- Q. And did he respect your opinion as a police officer?
- 3 A. Yes, and he respected police.
- 4 Q. Did he ever direct you how to conduct an investigation?
- A. Did he become involved and tell you what to do or anything?

 Not to my knowledge. He'd suggest possibly, "This other

 witness should be investigated or interviewed or you'll have
 to get...see if you can get more on this case or on that."
- Q. And did he...did you ever feel he pressured you during the course of your investigation?
- A. No. He was the type of man that you could go to, you could call him at his home at night and you could ask for advice and he'd give it to you freely.
- Q. Did you ever have any reason to complain to your superiors or to the Attorney General's Department about Mr. MacNeil's manner of prosecuting?
- 17 A. No, sir, I didn't.
- 18 MR. BARRETT
- 19 Those are all my questions. Thank-you.
- 20 MR. CHAIRMAN
- Mr. Pink.

22

EXAMINATION BY MR. PINK

Q. Mr. Urquhart, there are just two areas that I'd like to address with you. The first relates to the preparation of your affidavit that was used in the reference.

- 1 | A. Yes.
- Q. You recall that you met with Mr. Edwards in July, 1982, for preparation of that affidavit.
- A. I'm not...I can't recall now, but I believe the affidavit was
 made up when I got up there. I'm not absolutely sure on it
 though.
- 7 Q. Do you have Volume 17 in front of you?
- 8 A. Volume 17. Yes, I have it.
- 9 Q. Could you look at page 12?
- 10 A. 12, that's right. .
- Q. Those are Mr. Edwards' notes and they're dated the 12th of July.
- 13 A. Page 12.
- 14 Q. Yes.
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. And you see that on...just below the date it says, "My office, present John MacIntyre, Mike Whalley, Harry Wheaton, began with summary of Chambers' appearance," a-p-p-r. Do you see that?
- 20 A. No. Is this Wednesday, July 12th?
- Q. Wednesday, July 12th, '82. "My office, present..."
- 22 A. Oh, yes, I'm sorry.
- Q. I understand that you attended at that meeting but arrived after the meeting began.
- 25 A. I'm not...I might have been in court in that morning and I

- believe I was, and I come over to Mr. Edwards' office after I was through in court.
- Q. Yes. And the notes on the page on page 13 have a number of specific references to you, at the top of page 13, about a third of the way down and again about two-thirds of the way down.
- 7 A. Where I don't recall the interview with Harriss.
- 8 Q. Yes.
- 9 A. Yeah.
- Q. And I believe you told Mr. Orsborn the other day that that
 was your recollection, in fact, I believe it continues to be your
 recollection today the information that's recorded there by
 Mr. Edwards.
- 14 A. Right.
- Q. And that was the information that made it into the affidavit that Mr. Edwards prepared for you.
- 17 A. Right.
- Q. The affidavit itself, it's my understanding was prepared shortly after this and delivered to you and you had an opportunity to review it and make whatever changes you wanted.
- 22 A. Correct.
- Q. And you reviewed the affidavit with Mr. Whalley who was the solicitor for the City of Sydney and for the Sydney Police Commission.

- 1 | A. Yes.
- Q. And you took the opportunity to make some changes as you felt they were appropriate.
- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. You testified the other day regarding a meeting in 1971 with a Mr. Dan Paul, 1981, pardon me, with Mr. Dan Paul.
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. And just...so that you have the document in front of you, it's at page 16, at page 215, Volume 16, at page 215.
- 10 A. Page 215.
- Q. Page 215, yes. And, that's a note that you made as a result of the meeting with Mr. Paul.
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. When did you make that note?
- 15 A. August 26th, 1981.
- Q. Did you make it contemporaneously with the meeting, after the meeting, some time later in the day, the next day?
- A. No, I would say it would be immediately after Mr. Paul left the office or possibly when he was in the office.
- 20 Q. And...
- 21 A. So, that I would make sure that I had the right name.
- Q. And do you have an independent recollection of that meeting?
- A. I remember Mr. Paul and before on one of the occasions, I believe it was on the discovery, I couldn't remember the

- name of the man that turned this information over to me.
- Q. Sure. But today do you have an independent recollection of his coming to your office and your meeting with him?
- 4 A. Yes, because I have the document here.
- 5 Q. Okay. But...beside...
- 6 A. And I remember him coming to the office.
- 7 Q. You do, and do you remember your call to Mr. Williston?
- A. I remember I...after I got it and asked Mr. Paul to see if he could get any more information for me on it that I went to the Deputy Chief of Police, M. J. MacDonald. Chief MacIntyre was on vacation at the time, and Mike MacDonald was acting chief.

 And, I took it to him and then I turned it over or called up

 Brian Williston and spoke to him about it.
- Q. Did you call Mr. Williston the afternoon that Mr. Paul came to see you?
- 16 A. Yes, I did.
- 17 Q. Shortly after that.
- 18 A. Shortly after, yes.
- Q. And you appraised him of what had taken place in the meeting and you told him what you were going to do?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. I have a note that Mr. Williston made as a result of your telephone call, Mr. Urquhart, and I'll just read a portion of it to you.
- 25 MR. MURRAY

MR. URQUHART, EXAM. BY MR. PINK 9864 Is this an Exhibit? 1 MR. PINK 2 No, it's not, and I'll show it to you, Mr. Murray. I just... 3 MR. WILDSMITH 4 Perhaps, My Lord, I don't know what's going on here, but this document should be an exhibit. MR. CHAIRMAN 7 We don't know what's going on either. 8 MR. WILDSMITH 9 10 But apparently the witness is going to be referred to... MR. CHAIRMAN 11 We don't even know that yet. We wait in breathless 12 anticipation. 13 MR. PINK 14 Q. Perhaps I could just read this note to you, Mr. Urquhart, and 15 you can confirm or deny if... 16 MR. CHAIRMAN 17 Well, if you're going to read it, I take it you're going to put it 18 in. 19

MR. PINK

22

23

24

25

I have no difficulty with putting it in. I only have one copy at present, My Lord, and I'll arrange for copies to be made at the break. It's a note that Mr. Williston made. It's dated the 26th of August at 4:50 p.m.

EXHIBIT 129 - BRIAN WILLISTON'S NOTES ON TELEPHONE

CONVERSATION WITH WILLIAM URQUHART, AUGUST 26, 1981

I note from your record that your meeting with Mr. Paul was O. at 4:30 p.m., 1981. It says,

2

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

Urquhart indicated that Ebsary had been checked out previously with negative results, but he would interview Dan Paul for further details and information supporting this allegation. He stated that he would get back to Frank Edwards regarding the results of his inquiry, but for now just wanted to state that Dan Paul was

Does that accurately reflect what you told Mr. Williston on that day?

- I don't know if I told him that I'd be in contact with Mr. Α. Edwards or not. I'm not clear on that. And, I have nothing documented to state that I did.
- You indicated that you were awaiting Mr. Paul's return to Q. you...in your direct examination...
- I told...and it's in on the notes that I have written here, I believe, that I told Paul that it was not enough information and "He is going to try and get the name of the person who gave him the name of Ebsary and get back to me."
- Was it your intention, Mr. Urquhart, to do anything further? Q.
- I thought at that time, and I did do something about it, I took it to my superior, the Deputy Chief of Police, and I took it to the Crown Prosecutor, Brian Williston.

- Q. But besides that, was it your intention to make contact again with Mr. Paul to find out if he had any additional information?
- A. I was waiting for him to get back to me.
- 5 Q. And you felt that was sufficient.
- 6 A. I did at that time, yes, sir.

MR. PINK

1

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

That's all I have, thank-you.

EXAMINATION BY MR. PRINGLE

- Q. Just two minor areas, I'll probably use this mike here. Mr. Urquhart, my name is Al Pringle, I'm counsel...one of the counsel for the R.C.M.Police. In your discovery which you gave in...discovery evidence in 1986 I believe you stated in your direct that you didn't have much chance to prepare for that, you got a subpoena and you attended.
- A. That's correct.
- Q. However, I'd like to refer you to several portions of that discovery evidence and just have your comment on whether that evidence is accurate or not. That's Volume 14 of the exhibits, the fairly large red book, Volume 14. You don't have that?
- A. No.
- Q. Perhaps we could have that given to you. And the pages I'll be referring are 131, 135 and 156. And these questions, that evidence all relates to the first statements that were given

MR. URQUHART, EXAM. BY MR. PRINGLE

Mr. Chant, Mr. Pratico and the one and only statement given
by Mr. Marshall. And, I can refer you to those pages, but the
evidence that you gave in 1986 at discovery was that, in fact,
you were not aware of the existence of those statements until
that discovery in 1986. Do you recall that?

- A. Of which statements, sir?
- Q. The first statement of Mr. Chant, the first statement of Mr. Pratico and the only statement of Mr. Marshall. Perhaps we could start with page 131. And, that is in reference to Mr. Chant, do you have that page, Mr. Urquhart?
- A: Yes, I have.
- Q. All right. Specifically you were asked at that time, and the question was Q. 367,
 - Q. Were you aware on June the 4th when that statement was taken from Maynard Chant that a previous statement had been taken, so you went into Louisbourg Town?
 - A. No, I didn't.
 - Q. You didn't know about a previous statement?
 - A. No, I didn't know there was a previous statement taken of him, and later.
 - Q. And you can tell by reading it, is that the first time you've ever seen that statement?

A. As far as I know it is.

3

I just want to ask you, sir, is that you recollection that the first time you knew about those statements was in the discovery in 1986?

5

A. To the best of my knowledge, yes.

6

Q. Okay. One other minor little area I want to ask you about, the fingerprinting that you did in 1970 with respect to Mr. Ebsary, how long would that process normally take when you took someone in and you were the detective or the officer

9

taking the prints?

10

A. Not very long.

12

Q. Not very long.

13

A. No.

14

MR. CHAIRMAN

15 16

Mr. Pringle, could you indicate the relationship with this line of questioning to your client's interest?

17

MR. PRINGLE

18 19

20

21

22

24

25

I anticipated I might get asked that and I thought that...I had screened out many questions and left these two little areas. I think in a very general way our clients, of course, in 1982 were involved in the primary investigation and they've been subject to a lot of cross-examination with respect to what took place and 1971 statements relating to...I think it relates...

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

MR. UROUHART, EXAM. BY MR. PRINGLE

MR. CHAIRMAN

The fact that you're here appearing as counsel representing someone of interest doesn't give you the right to cross-examine on all issues. Your...

MR. PRINGLE

I appreciate that, My Lord, that's why...

MR. CHAIRMAN

It would not be related to your clients and...

MR. PRINGLE

That's why I took it down from nine to two and I hoped those two related in some way, and perhaps they do and perhaps they don't.

MR. CHAIRMAN

I don't think they do.

MR. PRINGLE

I would like to ask that question with respect to what...what a detective, an officer, taking fingerprints would normally do, would he normally find out what the offence related to that he was taking the prints for and would he normally make some kind of a note, mental or otherwise, about the description of...

MR. CHAIRMAN

I think he's answered that. I thought that...

MR. PRINGLE

Okay. Thank-you, My Lord.

25

24

9870 MR. URQUHART, EXAM, BY MR. PRINGLE

MR. CHAIRMAN

Now...

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. MURRAY

Not wanting to cast any aspersion on Mr. Ross, but perhaps it would be a good time to break before commencing cross-examination.

7 MR. CHAIRMAN

Well, let me...you have no...go ahead, Mr. Ross.

MR. ROSS

A minimum.

MR. CHAIRMAN

I'm prompted in my commitment, I don't want to tire this witness, so if...go ahead, if it's just a short...

EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSS

- Q. Mr. Urquhart, I would like to ask you just a couple of questions with respect to the investigation of the death of Sandy Seale and then one or two with respect to matters that might relate to the Black United Front. Now, the evidence of Debbie MacPherson was that when she came over to Sandy Seale he mentioned the word "No cops." Do you recall that evidence?
- A. I recall that evidence, yes, sir.
- Q. As far as you're concerned when was the first time you heard any indication that there was such a statement made?
- 25 A. When the Inquiry took place in Sydney, sir.

- Q. You never heard it before then.
- A. Not before that, to my knowledge.
- Q. Thank-you. And tell me further, as far as this robbery theory was concerned, when was the first time you became aware that there might have been a robbery theory?
- A. I don't know when I...I believe, and I'm not sure on it, but I
 think it was during the appeal or I read it in the paper. I
 never heard it from anybody around the police department if
 that's the...
- 10 Q. That would have been around 1982.
- 11 A. Yes, sir, I would say it is.
- Q. Yes. I see. Now, as far as the investigations in 1982 which
 were carried on by the R.C.M.P. is concerned, were you in any
 way troubled by the results of that investigation as compared
 to what you had been involved in back in 1970?
- 16 A. What do you mean by "troubled"?
- Q. Well, you were involved in the investigation in 1970, investigation of the death of Sandy Seale.
- 19 A. In '71.
- 20 Q. In '71, yes.
- 21 A. Right.
- Q. Yes. And in 1982 it appeared as though the investigation was taking a completely new...a completely new turn. Did you find that in any way troubling when you reflect on the work that you had done in 1971?

- A. It would trouble me, yes, that somebody was in jail for something they didn't do, that would bother me. As far as the investigation, I asked Mr. Edwards how the investigation was coming and he didn't chose to enlighten me in any way, shape or form on how it was progressing. The only way I'd know about it was through the press and radio.
- Q. I see. You weren't keep abreast of what was happening.
- A. No, sir, I was not.
- Q. Okay, fine, thank-you very much. That's all I'm going to ask you with respect to Sandy Seale. Now, perhaps you'd be good enough, sir, to turn Volume 35. And at page 102 just about in the centre of the page when they were speaking about leave for Junior Marshall from Dorchester, from the penitentiary, it reads.

Sydney Police would be opposed to subjects going to the area on a TA. They feel there might be reprisals from the black community and the subject's entire family had to move to Whycocomagh reserve after the offence.

As a police officer in the Sydney area, is it your view that there would be any basis for that form of concern with respect to the black community?

A. I worked in the black community on the police department for roughly twelve years and I never heard any remarks about Indians or whites or blacks.

- Q. A statement of a similar...a similar statement appears on page 147 where it reads, "The Chief of Police in Sydney stated in C.A. dated June, 1978, he's against a three-day...against any three-day passes Marshall might get as he feels there still might be reprisals from the black community." Were you aware of whether or not the Chief, in fact, made such statements?
- A. No.

- Q. And if, in fact, these statements were made, is it your evidence that you'd find difficulty finding a basis for such a statement?
- A. I wouldn't know what statements would be made to the Parole Board officers that would come in, no, by Chief MacIntyre or anybody else really other than ones I would make myself to them when they'd come to visit me, and the policy I had was that if somebody was involved in a case other than myself and the parole people come in to see them I'd kind of...I'd try to refer them to the officer that was in charge of the case so they could give them the full disclosure of what was going on.
- Q. Sure, I appreciate that, sir, but I'm asking if from your own experience whether in your view there would be any basis to make such a statement?
- A. No, I don't believe there would be.
- 25 Q. Thank-you. Then I ask you to look at page 151 where there

is reference to you.

- A. I see that here, sir.
- O. Yes. It reads:

4

5

6

7

8

9

1

2

3

Inspector William Urquhart of the Sydney Police Department was contacted in order to elicit his reaction to the subject's request for a three-day temporary absence to return to the Membertou Reserve with hopes of gaining support for his appeal.

It goes on to say,

10

11

As expected, the police reaction in this case is quite negative and they are very concerned about the risk of subject,

...the risk the subject presents should he return to the area. Inspector Urquhart

feels that the subject is a high risk for re-

opportunity to do so. As stated earlier the

offending and should not be given the

reaction of the Sydney Police to the

12

13

Sorry,

time?

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

subject's return to the Membertou Reserve is negative.

Now, number one, I'll ask you first whether or not that statement properly reflects your sentiments current at that

A. I can't see how that would reflect what I was thinking at that time.

Q. Thank-you. Therefore I can't ask any more on that. In your

- experience, sir, was there a section of Sydney which was classified as the Negro community?
- A. There was...when I went first on the police department there was black people living at the Pier area, wards five and six, mostly in ward five, but I never heard it referred to as a Negro area.
- Q. So, if, sir, you heard a statement made about the Negro area of Sydney, would any particular area come to mind?
- A. No, because most of the...the people have...there was people at that time living on Townsend Street that were black. I believe they were living in other parts of the City too, so I don't think it would be a fair statement to make that there was a Negro community wrapped up into one package and left in one ward.
- Q. Uh-hum. Well, then in your experience...did you have any knowledge of a case which was heard before Judge MacDonald in which Judge MacDonald said it was dangerous for young people to be in that neighbourhood at night, referring to a black community area?
- A. I never heard Judge MacDonald or any of the other Judges making that statement to my knowledge, sir.

MR. ROSS

Thank-you, very much. No more questions of this witness.

MR. CHAIRMAN

All right. Can you give an indication of how long you will be,

9876 MR. UROUHART, EXAM. BY MR. NICHOLAS

1 | Mr. ...

2 MR. NICHOLAS

Well, I have about hopefully ten relevant questions here, My
Lord, so it may take fifteen minutes.

MR. CHAIRMAN

Seeing we have ten relevant questions one can hardly resist rising for ten minutes.

- 8 BREAK
- 9 11:20 a.m.

10 MR. CHAIRMAN

Mr. Nicholas?

12 MR. NICHOLAS

Thank you, My Lords. I wasn't sure if I could limit myself to ten questions or not, but with your indulgence.

15

16

17

18

11

13

14

EXAMINATION BY MR. NICHOLAS

- Q. Well, my first question to Mr. Urquhart, I guess, Mr. Urquhart, you know I'm representing the interests of the Union of Nova Scotia Indians in these proceedings.
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And yesterday...No, I'm sorry, on Monday you indicated in
 your training you had approximately two-week refresher
 courses or some elementary training in police work and I'm
 wondering in your years as a police officer, any of the courses
 you took, were any of those touching on Micmac Indians or on

9877 MR. UROUHART, EXAM. BY MR. NICHOLAS

- their social conditions?
- No, sir. A. 2

1

- Q. None on race relations between peace officers and Indians 3 then. 4
- I imagine it was, I would say if it was on the refresher course 5 that it would be touched upon but as a course, no. You mean a two-week course or a three-week course? 7
- Well, I know in your testimony you had referred to a two-8 Q. week refresher course. 9
- A. Right. 10

19

20

- Q. That you took in Halifax. 11
- But nothing specific on any race. 12
- Okay. So what is the extent then of the relationship that you 13 Q. as a peace officer had with the Micmac or the Membertou 14 Reserve? 15
- 16 I worked with Indians and I was in the army with them, in the legion with them, and I had never any problem with 17 Micmac or any other race. 18
- Q. But to be a bit more specific in your relationship with the Micmac Indians living in Membertou within the City of 21 Sydney, did you have any meaningful relationship with them or any significant relationship with them?
- 23 No, I knew some of them, but I never had any courses in that 24 type of question that you're asking me, sir.
- O. So in carrying out your police work, did you ever think of

4

5

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

MR. URQUHART, EXAM. BY MR. NICHOLAS

- using an interpreters in questioning the Micmac people?
- A. No, sir, I didn't because any that I did talk to, they would speak English.
 - Q. So you took it from that that they fully understood the English language.
- 6 A. Yes, sir, I did.
- Q. I was going to also refer you to a particular volume, Volume
 35, I believe, at page 151, which I think was referred to as
 Exhibit 112. And you've already had a few questions on this
 matter this morning. When it came to Indian offenders being
 assessed for the possibility of probation or parole, how much
 were you involved in the actual assessment with the Reserve,
 with the individual, and the community?
 - A. It would be the National Parole people that would come in and interview us. Sometimes Bernie Francis would come in. He'd be wanting some information, Roy Gould. But outside of that, that would be the extent of it, sir.
 - Q. But in your involvement in these assessments, did you yourself take any initiative to approach the Reserve itself and either contact the Chief or other people in authority for their particular evaluation?
- A. No, sir, I didn't.
- Q. Not up even to the time when you retired in 1983?
- A. Pardon?
- Q. Not even up to the time when you retired in 1983?

9879 MR. UROUHART, EXAM, BY MR. NICHOLAS

- 1 | A. No, sir.
- Q. When you were Inspector of Criminal Investigation?
- 3 A. Did I contact anybody at the reservation?
- 4 Q. Yes.

7

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

- A. I would have contact but not on a parole basis, not to my knowledge, no.
 - Q. Now I want to go back to the particular Exhibit 119, which outlines your, in a one-page summary, I guess, your C.V. or curriculum vitae, if I can refer to that, and in particular, I wanted to find out about your experience in the police college. This is a police academy in Charlottetown?
- 12 A. Charlottetown, yes.
 - Q. And during your term on the Board of Directors between 1978...1972 and '78, were there any discussions that dealt with Indian policing or Indian offenders or any particular difficulties that enforcement agencies were having with Micmacs?
 - A. On any of the Board meetings that I attended at the Atlantic Police College in Charlottetown, there was never, to my knowledge, there was never anything to come up about any of the different races about whether they should be on police departments or whether they shouldn't be on them. It was, to my knowledge, that question was never raised.
 - Q. So did you yourself initiate any of these particular areas to be taken into consideration as part of the training of a peace

8

9

11

MR. UROUHART, EXAM, BY MR. NICHOLAS

officer?

- No, because at that time, and I still don't believe that there 2 was any problem with any of the different races in any of the Our department was small compared to police departments. some of the other ones and, to my knowledge, there was 5 never any discussion on it. 6
 - Q. Were you familiar then with their curriculum at the Academy, were there any particular courses that touched on race relations?
- After I left the college, I can't speak for what happened on 10 the curriculum then, sir. I don't know whether it's the same curriculum they have now as when I was involved or not, sir. 12
 - Now if I can refer you, Mr. MacIntyre, to Volume 31....I'm Q. sorry, Mr. Urquhart, excuse me, on page 71.
- A. Page 71? 15
- Well, it begins on page 71, yes, Volume 31. And, in particular, Q. pages 73. On page 71, if refers to an anonymous letter that 17 was sent to Mr. Chrétien, the Minister of Justice at the time, 18 and I'm wondering... First of all, I don't imagine you've ever 19 20 seen this particular document?
- No, I can't say that I have, sir. 21 Α.
- Q. On page 73. 22
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. I should maybe just have you glance at that last paragraph. 24 25 And the particular line I'm concerned with here, if I may just

MR. URQUHART, EXAM. BY MR. NICHOLAS

read it to you and follow along, it says:

Anyone in Sydney can tell you that Donald MacNeil was a racist, he made racist remarks about Indians.

Now that particular reference in an anonymous letter, were you ever, did anyone ever tell you about racist remarks that were harboured by Crown Prosecutors or other officers of the Court?

- A. No, I never heard Donnie MacNeil, and he's named here, make any racist remarks.
- Q. And no racist remarks, I think you've already indicated, were ever said to you, in your presence at least, by the peace officers within the department.
- A. No, I never heard anything stating that, of any ethnic group in any way, shape, or form to me.
- Q. And perhaps in my last area then, Mr. Urquhart, it's in the area of what you testified on Monday, again regarding this particular incident with Dan Paul, an employee of the Union of Nova Scotia Indians about the recent complaint that was raised to you.
- A. Yes.
- Q. And on page... First of all, maybe just as a clarification, in your... I'm not sure if you've had the opportunity to review your testimony of Monday and, in particular, I'm thinking of page 9631 of the transcript, of Monday's transcript. In

9882 MR. UROUHART, EXAM, BY MR. NICHOLAS

- particular in response to a question on Line 10 and your response on Line 12.
- 3 A. 9631?
- 4 Q. Yes.
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. We have also, of course, seen the memo that Mr. Williston wrote but did you give the impression to Mr. Paul on August 26th, 1981 that the Attorney General's Department is involved in this particular instant?
- 10 A. Did I give Mr. Paul that?
- 11 Q. Yes.
- A. Not to my knowledge I didn't. Anything that I had said concerning that visit by Mr. Paul to my office was on the paper that I had written.
- 15 Q. Yes.
- 16 A. And I can't remember making that statement to Mr. Paul.
- Q. So your response then on Lines 12 thereon, where you say
 that you didn't know where Mr. Paul got this information
 from, even though he told you this came from Mr. Marshall, is
 that what you meant in this response, or who Mr. Marshall
 got it from?
- A. I believe, if I can refresh my memory, that I asked him to get more information and to get me the name of the person where he got his information from.
- 25 Q. Do you mean that Mr. Marshall, or do you mean whom Mr.

9883 MR. URQUHART, EXAM. BY MR. NICHOLAS

- Marshall got it from? I'm sort of a bit confused.
- 2 A. Who Mr. Paul got it from, I would say.
- Q. Well, if I recall in your note, which was read into the record on page 9629, if you want to refer to that page.
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. And on that note that you made to the particular file, you indicated that it came from Mr. Marshall.
- 8 A. Can we go back to the original note?
- 9 Q. Yes, the original note is located in Volume 16, page 215.
- 10 A. Yes, I have it here, sir.
- Q. I believe you were going to read it or compare it to your response?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Now in response then that you gave on 9631, were you expecting a representative of the Union of Nova Scotia Indians to do some investigative work?
- A. I was expecting Dan Paul if he got any more information to come back to see me. That's what I was expecting.
- Q. And even though this was a very serious matter that a representative brought to your attention.
- 21 A. A very serious matter, yes.
- Q. And did you at any time after that contact the Union of Nova
 Scotia Indians to try to establish more contact with Mr. Paul?
- A. No, sir, I didn't.
- ²⁵ Q. May I ask you why?

3

4

5

MR. UROUHART, EXAM. BY MR. NICHOLAS

- A. I have no answer for that. I don't know.
- Q. And with regard to this information, if I understand, you contacted the Deputy Police Chief but I'm wondering why you contacted Mr. Williston, the Crown Prosecutor?
 - A. To see if he had any further advice to give me.
- Q. Have you seen Mr. Williston's memo, Mr. Urquhart, that's found in Volume 17 on page 35? Now, in particular, in the last few lines of 35 where Mr. Williston indicates that at some later you, in fact, contacted him again, do you have any recollection as to when you contacted Mr. Williston that you were not successful in contacting Mr. Paul or that he didn't come forward?
- A. No, at this time, I can't recall when I recontacted Mr.
 Williston, as he states here.
- Q. So in the second paragraph then where...Is this a clear reflection then where you might have indicated to Mr.
 Williston that it was your intention to reinterview Dan Paul?
- 18 A. To re?
- 19 Q. Interview Dan Paul?
- A. I believe I left it with Dan Paul to get back to me and that's the indication I have from the note that I wrote at that time.
- Q. So the words "to reinterview" do not come to your mind?
- 23 A. Pardon?
- Q. The words that Mr. Williston uses in his memorandum, "His intention to reinterview Dan Paul", this is not a reflection of

2

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

MR. UROUHART, EXAM. BY MR. NICHOLAS

- what your intentions were.
- Not to my knowledge, no. A.
- Did you think of yourself approaching Junior Marshall to ask Q. 3 him of this information?
 - No, I didn't, sir. I didn't approach him.
 - So in your meeting then with Mr. Paul on August 26th, the O. impression you didn't leave with him was that this is all done and over with and you have nothing to do about it any more.
 - No, I wouldn't say that we'd have nothing to do with it. I don't think that that's fair to say that I would say that or think that. As far as I was concerned, any information we got I would keep a copy of it or take a copy of it and consult my immediate bosses and the crown.

MR. NICHOLAS

I see. I have no further questions, My Lords.

16

17

18

EXAMINATION BY MR. MURRAY

- O. Mr. Urquhart, my name is Donald Murray. You know me.
- A. Yes, sir. 19
- With respect to one factual matter. On June 4th, you went to 20 O. Baddeck to arrest Donald Marshall, Jr. Can you explain to the 21 22 Commission why you went to Baddeck?
- 23 As a result of statements we had taken that day and being in 24 contact with the Crown Prosecutor, Mr. Donald C. MacNeil.
- 25 Q. How did you know to go to Baddeck?

- A. We first went to the Membertou Reservation and I believe it was Donald Marshall, Jr.'s brother we met and he told us that they were at Baddeck.
- Q. You've been asked a number of questions about the taking of statements and when you sign statements and I don't believe you have been asked, but when you were in the position of witnessing a statement rather than writing it out yourself, would you do anything before signing that document?
- A. Read it over.
 - Q. Was that an invariable practice?
 - A. Yes, it was a practice that I always did. And it was given to the accused to read. And if they didn't want to read it or couldn't read it and they asked for one of us to read it, the witness to the taking of the statement would read it over.
 - Q. My friend, Mr. Nicholas, referred you to Exhibit 19, which is your resumé and there's a reference under "Community Involvement" to Board of Directors of Howard House. Could you explain to the Commission what "Howard House" is, sir?
 - A. At that time, there was a house set up in Sydney, it was on Bentinck Street, where people on parole would be housed. It was a joint, I think it was between the Federal and Provincial Government. It was under, at one time, under the John Howard Society, but I believe that was later changed and I believe it still goes by the name of the "Howard House" on Bentinck Street. Marjorie Peck, she's in charge of the House.

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

23

There's, I forget how many beds there now, possibly 10 or 12, and they come there. The boys that's in there, and girls now, I believe, they go out in the community and they look for work. There's counseling there. The National Parole people are back and forth. Rob MacDougall sat on that same Board with me, that's a parole officer, and there was meals supplied to them, beds, and they seemed to get along in the community very good. I was appointed from the Sydney Police Department and when I retired, I wanted to resign off the Board, but Father John Graham, who is in charge, who was Chairman of the Board at that time, asked me if I would stay on for another while and I did until I moved out of Sydney in 1985 or so.

- Q. I would like to spend a few brief moments with you going through some documents where allegations have been made about you, sir, that haven't been dealt with specifically by other counsel. <u>Justice Denied</u>, have you read this book by Michael Harris?
- 19 A. By who?
- 20 Q. Michael Harris?
- 21 A. I might have glanced through it, I'm not....
- Q. On page 89 of <u>Justice Denied</u>, Michael Harris states:

When Patricia Harriss was taken to the Sydney Police Station on the evening of June 17th, she wondered if the police

888	MR. URQUHART, EXAM. BY MR. MURRAY
1	would ever leave her alone. The 14-year- old had already talked to Det. Michael
14	MacDonald on two occasions, both times
	telling him the same story.
8.	And then over on page 90, Michael Harris states:
	Now at 8:15 she began for the third time to
0	tell police what she knew of events on Friday, May 28th only this time in
1	statement form.
	COMMISSIONER POITRAS
1	Mr. Murray, do we have that as evidence before us and is that
1	really germane to this Inquiry?
1	MR. MURRAY
1	I suggest that it is
1	COMMISSIONER POITRAS
1	Unless the book has been filed, and I don't think it has.
1	MR. MURRAY
1	Even if it wasn't, a number of witnesses have been examined
1	about portions of this book, My Lord.
1	COMMISSIONER EVANS
1	Having to do with quotes, having to do with quotations, I
2	believe.
2	MR. MURRAY
2	Certainly.
2	3 COMMISSIONER EVANS
2	We're not interested in Michael Harris' observations or his

opinions.

q

MR. UROUHART, EXAM. BY MR. MURRAY

- A. No, sir, I cannot.
- Q. Turning now to red Volume 31, and I'm not aware of what exhibit number this is. 124? Actually, pages 130 and 131, and I merely refer to it to show the basis of my questions, Mr. Urquhart. This is a statement of claim which I understand was never served on you in an action by Donald Marshall against the City of Sydney, John MacIntyre and yourself. And to summarize I think fairly what is contained in it, it suggests that you, in particular, were negligent in the performance of your duties in 1971 and that you were instrumental in the fabrication of false and misleading evidence against Donald Marshall and I'd like to give you the opportunity to respond, if you wish, to those complaints.

11:47 a.m.

- A. I never fabricated any evidence, and the investigation was done to the best of my ability.
- Q. I'd like you to turn to red Volume 27, and again I don't know what exhibit number this is. Page 2 of that volume, this is a letter from Mr. Stephen Aronson, who was Donald Marshall's counsel at one point, to the Attorney General of Nova Scotia in April of 1982 and in the second paragraph on page 2 Mr. Aronson states,

It would be most appreciated if you might keep me advised as to your department's position. In particular, I have expressed

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. URQUHART, EXAM, BY MR. MURRAY

and continue to express my concern over the leaks to the press of information relating to the Marshall investigation. I do not believe that such leaks serve the interests of justice. This is particularly true as the source of the leaks appear to come from the Sydney City Police and officers of your own department, including the Attorney General himself.

What can you tell this Commission, sir, about anything you did that might constitute a leak?

- A. I would have no way of getting any information, as the evidence points out I asked Mr. Edwards and I didn't get any answers. It was under investigation. Therefore, I would not know anything about the case to leak to the press or radio.
- Q. What can you tell the Commission about your contact with the media in 1982 and '83?
- A. They were looking for information. It was...they harassed, and that's the only word I can use, my daughter at home. I was on vacation at the time when the case broke, I guess, and she called up crying at my home at Glace Bay and telling me that some of the mean things that was said to her and I told her to ignore it and not pay any attention to it.

MR. MURRAY

My Lords, I have a book of newspaper articles which refer to allegations that have been made, some go much farther than what has been raised by the evidence here. I distributed that to counsel yesterday and as I understand there is no objection to

MARGARET E. GRAHAM DISCOVERY SERVICE, COURT REPORTERS
DARTMOUTH, NOVA SCOTIA

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. UROUHART, EXAM. BY MR. MURRAY

MR. URQUHART

- A. Yes, I see it now.
- Q. In the paragraph immediately following that

One witness, John Pratico, recently told a Sydney reporter the police were out to get Marshall, another witness has alleged he was pressured by the Sydney and Louisbourg police to concoct this story. Sydney man who has known the suspect for eight years says he went to the Sydney Police Department and the R.C.M.P. in 1974, gave them the suspect's name and evidence allegedly linking him with Sandy Seale's murder but they had already had their man behind bars, the local resident, who did not want to be identified, said in an interview on Friday. The Union of Nova Scotia Indians also gave the suspect's name to police in 1981 but the police again refused to reopen the case then. It was reopened after December, 1981.

With respect to those allegations contained in those paragraphs as they relate to you, do you have anything to say, sir?

- A. No, sir.
- Q. On page 2, and this is an article from the <u>Mic Mac News</u>, if you'd keep the page turned straight up with 2 at the top, that one column that is in the right direction,

Meanwhile it was learned the Donald Marshall, Jr., case is nothing new to Sydney City Police who have tried unsuccessfully

MR. URQUHART, EXAM. BY MR. MURRAY

to cover up the whole affair.

And then paragraph below,

the first column,

3

1

The Union of Nova Scotia Indians also gave the suspect's name to police in May, 1981, but then police...but the police again refused to reopen the case then.

5

6

That, I suggest, sir, refers to your contact with Dan Paul. What can you say about any alleged cover up?

7

A. There was no cover up by me personally, sir, in any of that.

9

Q. Now, referring you to page 8. Page 8. This is an article from the <u>Toronto Star</u> dated October 6th, 1982. At the bottom of

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

One of them, but one of them told Sydney Police in November, 1971, ten days after Marshall was convicted, that he saw someone else murder Seale. Sydney Police did not reveal this information until the Royal Canadian Mounted Police took another look at the case this year.

What do you have to say about that, sir?

- A. It was turned over from the...when Jim MacNeil came in and gave the statement to the Sydney Police the R.C.M.P., I believe, were contacted at that time and there was a polygraph test done on Roy Newman Ebsary and on MacNeil.
- Q. Do I gather from the evidence that you've given, and I don't want to suggest an answer to you, sir, what was your main source of information about the R.C.M.P. reinvestigation in

6

7

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

MR. URQUHART, EXAM. BY MR. MURRAY

1	0	0	1	0
- 1	9	A	1.	1

- 2 A. What I would read in the press.
- Q. I'd like you to go to the last page in this document, page 14.

 Have you had an opportunity to read that, sir?
- 5 A. Yes.
 - Q. It concludes with, "The strain has been heavy on both Police Chief John MacIntyre and Inspector Bill Urquhart that they have had to suffer in silence. It's not fair." What can you tell this Commission as to your personal feelings since 1982 and your involvement in this matter?
 - A. Well, I've been...was a police officer for a number of years.

 As far as I was concerned my record was good, and as far as
 I'm concerned it's still good. And, anything that's written
 about me I can't...I can't do anything about what people think,
 say or do and if that's what they want to write to slant the
 truth I...let them go ahead. It's them that's got to answer for
 it some day, not me.

MR. MURRAY

I have no further questions, My Lord.

MR. ORSBORN

I'll be brief on the re-direct, My Lord.

RE-EXAMINATION BY MR. ORSBORN

Q. Mr. Urquhart, I believe you told my friend, Mr. Ross, that you were first aware of the robbery theory sometime around 1982, either from the appeal or from the newspaper.

9897 MR. UROUHART, RE-EXAM. BY MR. ORSBORN

- A. Yes, I'm not quite sure, as I've said, when that theory come up.
- Q. When you did learn of the robbery theory, did you form any opinion of the effect on your 1971 investigation of not having had that information?
- 6 A. No, that information never came forward to us.
- Q. I appreciate that, but did you say, well, if we had had that information it would have made all the difference?
- A. I don't really know whether it would have or not because I

 can't go back and say, you know, that part of the evidence if

 we had it it might have made a difference and it might not.
- Q. Were you not aware though on November, 1971, when you interviewed John MacNeil that the Indian fellow had Jimmy MacNeil's arm behind his back?
- 15 A. I don't know if that's in the...
- 16 Q. Yeah, it is, take my word for it.
- 17 A. Yeah.
- Q. And would that suggest to you some kind of altercation or dispute?
- A. Well, unless he was trying to protect himself and the other fellow grabbed him, I don't really know.
- Q. You said this morning that with respect to suggestions being
 made to Maynard Chant at Louisbourg that you can't
 remember and that Mr. Magee could be mistaken. When I
 asked you similar questions on Monday, Mr. Urquhart, about

9898 MR. URQUHART, RE-EXAM, BY MR. ORSBORN

- advice or assistance being given to Mr. Chant you said, no, that did not happen, and could you just clarify whether or not you simply don't remember whether Mr. Chant was given any assistance or whether he was not given assistance?
- A. No, I would say he wasn't given any assistance to answer the questions that John MacIntyre put to him.
- Q. Okay. One final question, you discussed the similarities between Mr. Pratico's and Mr. Chant's statement. I'd just like to settle in my mind your evidence about a dissimilarity in those statements of June 4th, the two June 4th statements. In the second statement or I'm sorry, the statement from the second eyewitness, Mr. Chant, Mr. Chant places himself on the tracks and describes a fellow similar to John Pratico, who you had previously interviewed. He said there was a dark-haired fellow on the tracks. Previous to that, a couple of hours before that you interviewed Mr. Pratico and he said there wasn't a soul around. Can you suggest any reason for that dissimilarity in those statements?
- A. No, I can't, sir.

20 MR. ORSBORN

Those are my questions, thank-you, My Lord.

MR. CHAIRMAN

Thank-you very much, Mr. Urquhart. That's all, thank-you.

WITNESS WITHDRAWS